



CCSBT-CC/1510/05

## Proposed Revision to the Template for the Annual Report to Compliance Committee and Extended Commission

### Introduction

Each year Members are required to submit an annual report to the Compliance Committee (CC) and the Extended Commission (EC) in accordance with the agreed format outlined in the template of the annual report to the CC and EC<sup>1</sup>.

### Draft Proposed Revisions

The Secretariat proposes that three areas of this template need to be revised. The proposed revisions are provided at **Attachment A**, and the reasons for these proposed revisions are noted below.

#### ***Revision 1 (pp2 & 12 of the template)***

CCSBT 21 agreed a common definition of the Attributable SBT catch<sup>2</sup>, and agreed to, “Act in good faith to implement the common definition of attributable catch adopted at CCSBT21 as soon as practicable but not later than the 2018 quota year.” The text in section I(3) of the current template refers to decisions made by CCSBT 20, and is now outdated. Therefore, the Secretariat has proposed amendments to this text to reflect the decisions made at CCSBT 21 instead. An ‘Attachment A’ has also been added to the template to facilitate easy access to the detail of CCSBT 21’s decision.

#### ***Revision 2 (pp5 & 13 of the template)***

Paragraph 7 of the currently adopted amended CCSBT Authorised Vessel Resolution notes that:

*“7. The Members and Co-operating Non-members shall review their own internal actions and measures taken pursuant to paragraph 5, including punitive and sanction actions and in a manner consistent with domestic law as regards disclosure, report*

---

<sup>1</sup> The reporting template can be found at the following link:

[http://www.ccsbt.org/userfiles/file/templates/Annual\\_CC-EC\\_Reporting\\_Template.doc](http://www.ccsbt.org/userfiles/file/templates/Annual_CC-EC_Reporting_Template.doc)

<sup>2</sup> “A Member or CNM’s attributable catch against its national allocation is the total Southern Bluefin Tuna mortality resulting from fishing activities within its jurisdiction or control (except where a vessel is chartered to a person or entity of another Member or CNM, and if a catch is attributable to that Member or CNM) including, inter alia, mortality resulting from:

- commercial fishing operations whether primarily targeting SBT or not;
- releases and/or discards;
- recreational fishing;
- customary and/or traditional fishing; and
- artisanal fishing.”

*the results of the review to the Extended Commission at its 2005 meeting and annually thereafter.....”<sup>3</sup>.*

However, to date no provision for the annual reporting noted in paragraph 7 has been made within the template. Therefore, the Secretariat has now inserted a new item into the template to reflect this requirement - II(1)(e). An ‘Attachment B’ has also been added to the template to provide the relevant paragraph details from the current CCSBT Authorised Vessel Resolution.

***Revision 3 (p10 of the template)***

The Eleventh Meeting of the Ecologically Related Species Working Group (ERSWG 11) requested that the Compliance Committee (CC) collate information from Members regarding the types of information they collect with respect to bycatch mitigation measures under their compliance programmes for SBT vessels (*e.g.* data collected from port inspections and other monitoring and surveillance programmes).

The Secretariat has inserted a new item - III(2)(d) - into the template to address this request from ERSWG 11.

**Prepared by the Secretariat**

---

<sup>3</sup> Note that this paragraph 7 incorrectly refers to, “measures taken pursuant to paragraph 5”. It should instead read correctly as “measures taken pursuant to paragraph 6”. Please refer to the Secretariat’s paper CCSBT-CC/1510/11 for more details.

**Template for the Annual Report  
to the Compliance Committee and the Extended Commission**

*(Revised following CCSBT 22~~+~~)*

*If there are multiple SBT fisheries, with different rules and procedures applying to the different fisheries, it may be easier to complete this template separately for each fishery. Alternatively, please ensure that the information for each fishery is clearly differentiated within the single template.*

*This template seeks information on a quota year basis. Those Members/CNMs that have not specified a quota year to the CCSBT (i.e. Indonesia, EU, South Africa and the Philippines), should provide the information on a calendar year basis. Within this template, the quota year (or calendar year for those without a quota year) is referred to as the “fishing season”. Unless otherwise specified, information should be provided for the most recently completed fishing season. Members and CNMs are encouraged to also provide preliminary information for the current fishing season where the fishing for that season is complete or close to complete.*

## Table of Contents

I. Summary of MCS Improvements .....	2
(1) Improvements achieved in the current fishing season .....	2
(2) Future planned improvements.....	2
(3) Implementation of the common CCSBT definition for the “Attributable SBT Catch” .....	2
II. SBT Fishing and MCS Arrangements .....	2
(1) Fishing for Southern Bluefin Tuna .....	2
(2) SBT Towing and transfer to and between farms (farms only).....	5
(3) SBT Transshipment (in port and at sea) .....	6
(4) Landings of Domestic Product (from both fishing vessels and farms) .....	7
(5) SBT Exports .....	7
(6) SBT Imports.....	8
(7) SBT Markets.....	8
(8) Other.....	8
III. Additional Reporting Requirements .....	9
(1) Coverage and Type of CDS Audit undertaken .....	9
(2) Ecologically Related Species .....	9
(3) Historical SBT Catch (retained and non-retained).....	10

## I. Summary of MCS Improvements

### (1) Improvements achieved in the current fishing season

Provide details of MCS improvements achieved for the current fishing season.

### (2) Future planned improvements

Describe any MCS improvements that are being planned for future fishing seasons and the expected implementation date for such improvements.

### (3) Implementation of the common CCSBT definition for the “Attributable SBT Catch”

~~CCSBT 210 agreed on that the Compliance Committee would develop a common definition of the Attributable SBT Catch. Further, it agreed to implement this common definition as soon as practicable, but not later than the 2018 quota year, by 2014, taking into account the importance of including all sources of mortality. Members should report on progress on the action points for implementing the Attributable SBT catch as specified in Table 1 at paragraph 53 of the CCSBT 21 report (provided here as Attachment A) have been asked to consider and commit to a timetable for implementation commencing in 2015 with annual reporting to the Extended Commission. Details of the implementation timetable and implementation progress should be provided here (this is unlikely to be possible prior to CCSBT 21).~~

## II. SBT Fishing and MCS Arrangements

### (1) Fishing for Southern Bluefin Tuna

(a) Specify the number of vessels that caught SBT in each sector (e.g. authorised commercial longline, authorised commercial purse seine, authorised commercial charter fleet, authorised domestic fleet) during the previous 3 fishing seasons.

Fishing Season (e.g. 2011/12)	Sector 1 (please name)	Sector 2 (please name)	Sector 3 (please name)
	Number of vessels	Number of vessels	Number of vessels

(b) Specify the historic national SBT allocation, together with any carry-forward of unfished allocation and the total SBT catch counted against the national allocation (Attributable Catch) during the 3 previous fishing seasons. All figures should be provided in tonnes. Some CCSBT Members use slightly different definitions for the catch that is counted against the allocation, so in the space below the table, clearly define the catch that has been counted against the national allocation:-

Fishing Season (e.g. 2011/12)	National SBT allocation (t) (excluding carry-forward)	Unfished allocation carried forward to this fishing season (t)	SBT catch counted against the national allocation (t)						
			Sector 1 (please name)		Sector 2 (please name)		Sector 3 (please name)		
			Domestic allocation	Actual Catch Against Allocation	Domestic allocation	Actual Catch Against Allocation	Domestic allocation	Actual Catch Against Allocation	


*(c) Describe the system used for controlling the level of SBT catch. For ITQ and IQ systems, this should include details on how the catch was allocated to individual companies and/or vessels. For competitive catch systems this should include details of the process for authorising vessels to catch SBT and how the fishery was monitored for determining when to close the fishery. The description provided here should include any operational constraints on effort (both regulatory and voluntary):-*

*(d) Provide details of the methods used to monitor catching in the fishery by completing the table below. Details should also be provided of monitoring conducted of fishing vessels when steaming away from the fishing grounds (this does not include towing vessels that are reported in Section 2).*

Monitoring Methods	Description
Daily log book	<p><i>Specify:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>i. Whether this was mandatory. If not, specify the % of SBT fishing that was covered:-</i></li> <li><i>ii. The level of detail recorded (shot by shot, daily aggregate etc):-</i></li> <li><i>iii. Whether the effort and catch information collected complied with that specified in the “Characterisation of the SBT Catch” section of the CCSBT Scientific Research Plan (Attachment D of the SC5 report), including both retained and discarded catch. If not, describe the non-compliance:-</i></li> <li><i>iv. What information on ERS was recorded in logbooks:-</i></li> <li><i>v. Who were the log books submitted to<sup>1</sup>:-</i></li> <li><i>vi. What was the timeframe and method<sup>2</sup> for submission:-</i></li> <li><i>vii. The type of checking and verification that was routinely conducted for this information:-</i></li> <li><i>viii. Reference to applicable legislation and penalties:-</i></li> <li><i>ix. Other relevant information<sup>3</sup>:-</i></li> </ul>

<sup>1</sup> *If the reports are not to be submitted to the Member’s or CNM’s government fisheries authority, then also specify whether the information will later be sent to the fisheries authority, including how and when that occurs.*

<sup>2</sup> *In particular, whether the information is submitted electronically from the vessel.*

<sup>3</sup> *Including information on ERS, and comments on the effectiveness of the controls or monitoring tools and any plans for further improvement.*

<p><i>Additional reporting methods (such as real time monitoring programs)</i></p>	<p><i>If multiple reporting methods exists (e.g. daily, weekly and/or month SBT catch reporting, reporting of tags and SBT measurements, reporting of ERS interactions etc), create a separate row of in this table for each method. Then, for each method, specify:</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>i. Whether this was mandatory. If not, specify the % of SBT fishing that was covered:-</i></li> <li><i>ii. The information that was recorded (including whether it relates to SBT or ERS):-</i></li> <li><i>iii. Who the reports were submitted to and by whom (e.g. Vessel Master, the Fishing Company etc)<sup>1</sup>:-</i></li> <li><i>iv. What was the timeframe and method<sup>2</sup> for submission:-</i></li> <li><i>v. The type of checking and verification that was routinely conducted for this information:-</i></li> <li><i>vi. Reference to applicable legislation and penalties:-</i></li> <li><i>vii. Other relevant information<sup>3</sup>:-</i></li> </ol>																																														
<p><i>Scientific Observers</i></p>	<p><i>Specify:</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>i. The percentage of the SBT catch and effort observed and the total number of days that observers were actually deployed for in the three previous seasons for each sector (e.g. longline, purse seine, commercial charter fleet, domestic fleet). The unit of effort should be hooks, sets and tows for longline, purse seine and towing respectively:-</i></li> </ol> <table border="1" data-bbox="395 920 1390 1133"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="2">Fishing Season <i>(e.g. 2011/12)</i></th> <th colspan="2">Sector 1</th> <th rowspan="2">Obs. days deployed</th> <th colspan="2">Sector 2</th> <th rowspan="2">Obs. days deployed</th> <th colspan="2">Sector 3</th> <th rowspan="2">Obs. days deployed</th> </tr> <tr> <th>% effort obs.</th> <th>% catch obs.</th> <th>% effort obs.</th> <th>% catch obs.</th> <th>% effort obs.</th> <th>% catch obs.</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>ii. The system used for comparisons between observer data and other catch monitoring data in order to verify the catch data:-</i></li> <li><i>iii. Excluding the coverage, specify whether the observer program complied with the CCSBT Scientific Observer Program Standards. If not, describe the non-compliance. Also indicate whether there was any exchange of observers between countries:-</i></li> <li><i>iv. What information on ERS was recorded by observers:-</i></li> <li><i>v. Who were the observer reports submitted to:-</i></li> <li><i>vi. Timeframe for submission of observer reports:-</i></li> <li><i>vii. Other relevant information (including plans for further improvement – in particular to reach coverage of 10% of the effort):-</i></li> </ol>	Fishing Season <i>(e.g. 2011/12)</i>	Sector 1		Obs. days deployed	Sector 2		Obs. days deployed	Sector 3		Obs. days deployed	% effort obs.	% catch obs.	% effort obs.	% catch obs.	% effort obs.	% catch obs.																														
Fishing Season <i>(e.g. 2011/12)</i>	Sector 1		Obs. days deployed	Sector 2		Obs. days deployed	Sector 3		Obs. days deployed																																						
	% effort obs.	% catch obs.		% effort obs.	% catch obs.		% effort obs.	% catch obs.																																							
<p><i>VMS</i></p> <p><i>The items of “ii” are required in association with the Resolution on establishing the CCSBT Vessel Monitoring System</i></p>	<p><i>Specify:</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>i. Whether a mandatory VMS for SBT vessels that complies with CCSBT’s VMS resolution was in operation. If not, provide details of non-compliance and plans for further improvement:-</i></li> <li><i>ii. For the most recently completed fishing season, specify:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>• The number of its flag vessels on the CCSBT Authorised Vessel List that were required to report to a National VMS system:-</i></li> <li><i>• The number of its flag vessels on the CCSBT Authorised Vessel List that actually reported to a National VMS system:-</i></li> </ul> </li> </ol>																																														

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Reasons for any non-compliance with VMS requirements and action taken by the Member:-</i></li> <li>• <i>In the event of a technical failure of a vessel's VMS, the vessel's geographical position (latitude and longitude) at the time of failure and the length of time the VMS was inactive should be reported:-</i></li> <li>• <i>The procedures used for manual reporting in the event of a VMS failure (e.g. "manual position reporting on a 4 hourly basis"):-</i></li> <li>• <i>A description of any investigations initiated in accordance with paragraph 3(b) of the CCSBT VMS resolution including progress to date and any actions taken:-</i></li> </ul> <p><i>iii. Reference to applicable legislation and penalties:-</i></p>
<i>At-Sea Inspections</i>	<p><i>Specify:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>i. The coverage level of at sea inspections (e.g. % of SBT trips inspected):-</i></li> <li><i>ii. Other relevant information<sup>3</sup>:-</i></li> </ul>
<i>Other (use of masthead cameras etc.)</i>	

*(e) Report on the review of internal actions and measures taken in relation to the authorised vessel requirements provided at Attachment B, including any punitive and sanction actions taken.*

**(2) SBT Towing and transfer to and between farms (farms only)**

*(b) Describe the system used for controlling and monitoring towing of SBT from the fishing ground to the farming area. This should include details of:*

- i. Observation required for towing of SBT (include % coverage):-*
- ii. Monitoring systems for recording losses of SBT (in particular, SBT mortality):-*

*(c) Describe the system used for controlling and monitoring transferring of SBT from tow cages into farms. This should include details of:*

- i. Inspection/Observation required for transfer of SBT (include % coverage):-*
- ii. Monitoring system used for recording the quantity of SBT transferred:-*
- iii. Plans to allow adoption of the stereo video systems for ongoing monitoring:-*

*(d) For "b" and "c" above, describe the process used for completing, validating<sup>4</sup> and collecting the relevant CCSBT CDS documents (Farm Stocking Form, Farm Transfer Form):-*

*(e) Other relevant information<sup>3</sup>*

<sup>4</sup> Including the class of person who conducts this work (e.g. government official, authorised third party)

**(3) SBT Transshipment (in port and at sea)**

(a) In accordance with the Resolution on Establishing a Program for Transshipment by Large-Scale Fishing Vessels, report:

i. The quantities of SBT transhipped at sea and in port during the previous fishing season:-

<b>Fishing Season</b> (e.g. 2011/12)	<b>Percentage of the annual SBT catch transhipped at sea</b>	<b>Percentage of the annual SBT catch transhipped in port</b>

ii. The list of the LSTLVs registered in the CCSBT Authorised Vessel List which have transhipped at sea and in port during the previous fishing season:-

iii. A comprehensive report assessing the content and conclusions of the reports of the observers assigned to carrier vessels which have received at-sea transhipments from their LSTLVs during the previous fishing season:-

(b) Describe the system used for controlling and monitoring transhipments in port. This should include details of:

- i. Rules for and names of designated foreign ports of transhipment for SBT and for prohibition of transhipment at other foreign ports:-
- ii. Port State inspections required for transhipments of SBT (include % coverage):-
- iii. Information sharing with designated port states:-
- iv. Monitoring systems for recording the quantity of SBT transhipped:-
- v. Process for validating<sup>4</sup> and collecting the relevant CCSBT CDS documents (Catch Monitoring Form, Catch Tagging Form):-
- vi. Reference to applicable legislation and penalties:-
- vii. Other relevant information<sup>3</sup>:-

(c) Describe the system used for controlling and monitoring transhipments at sea. This should include details of:

- i. The rules and processes for authorising transhipments of SBT at sea and methods (in addition to the presence of CCSBT transhipment observers) for checking and verifying the quantities of SBT transhipped:-
- ii. Monitoring systems for recording the quantity of SBT transhipped:-
- iii. Process for collecting the relevant CCSBT CDS documents (Catch Monitoring Form, Catch Tagging Form):-
- iv. Reference to applicable legislation and penalties:-
- v. Other relevant information<sup>3</sup>:-



**(4) Landings of Domestic Product (from both fishing vessels and farms)**

(a) Specify the approximate percentage of the annual SBT catch that was landed as domestic product.

(b) Describe the system used for controlling and monitoring domestic landings of SBT. This should include details of:

- i. Rules for designated ports of landing of SBT:-
- ii. Inspections required for landings of SBT (including % coverage):-
- iii. Details of genetic testing conducted and any other techniques that are used to verify that SBT are not being landed as a different species:-
- iv. Monitoring systems for recording the quantity of SBT landed:-
- v. Process for validating<sup>4</sup> and collecting the relevant CCSBT CDS documents (Catch Monitoring Form, and depending on circumstances, Catch Tagging Form):-
- vi. Reference to applicable legislation and penalties:-
- vii. Other relevant information<sup>3</sup>:-

**(5) SBT Exports**

(a) Specify the quantity of the domestic catch that was exported and provide an estimate of the total quantity of the domestic SBT catch (in tonnes to 1 decimal place ) that was retained within the country/fishing entity (i.e. the quantity can be estimated by subtracting the total export from domestic catch) during each of the last 3 fishing seasons to each country/fishing entity.

Fishing Season (e.g. 2011/12)	Estimate of retained within the country/fishing entity (Domestic catch-Export)	SBT Exported to							
		Country / Fishing Entity 1	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮

(b) Describe the system used for controlling and monitoring exports of SBT (including of landings directly from the vessel to the foreign importing port). This should include details of:

- i. Inspections required for export of SBT (including % coverage):-
- ii. Details of genetic testing conducted and any other techniques that are used to verify that SBT are not being exported as a different species:-
- iii. Monitoring systems for recording the quantity of SBT exported:-
- iv. Process for validating<sup>4</sup> and collecting the relevant CCSBT CDS documents (Catch Monitoring Form and depending on circumstances, Catch Tagging Form or Re-export/Export after landing of domestic product Form):-
- v. Reference to applicable legislation and penalties:-
- vi. Other relevant information<sup>3</sup>:-

**(6) SBT Imports**

(a) Specify the total quantity of SBT (in tonnes to 1 decimal place) imported during each of the last 3 fishing seasons from each country/fishing entity.

Fishing Season (e.g. 2011/12)	SBT Imported from								
	Country / Fishing Entity 1	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮

(b) Describe the system used for controlling and monitoring imports of SBT. This should include details of:

- i. Rules for designated ports for import of SBT:-
- ii. Inspections required for import of SBT (including % coverage):-
- iii. Details of genetic testing conducted and any other techniques that are used to verify that SBT are not being imported as a different species:-
- iv. Process for checking and collecting CCSBT CDS documents (Catch Monitoring Form and depending on circumstances, Re-export/Export after landing of domestic product Form):-
- v. Reference to applicable legislation and penalties:-
- vi. Other relevant information<sup>3</sup>:-

**(7) SBT Markets**

(a) Describe any activities targeted at points in the supply chain between landing and the market:-

(b) Describe the system used for controlling and monitoring of SBT at markets (e.g. voluntary or mandatory requirements for certain documentation and/or presence of tags, and monitoring or audit of compliance with such requirements):-

(c) Other relevant information<sup>3</sup>

**(8) Other**

Description of any other MCS systems of relevance.

### III. Additional Reporting Requirements

#### **(1) Coverage and Type of CDS Audit undertaken**

*As per paragraph 5.9 of the CDS Resolution, specify details on the level of coverage and type of audit undertaken, in accordance with 5.8<sup>5</sup> of the Resolution, and the level of compliance.*

#### **(2) Ecologically Related Species**

*(a) Reporting requirements in relation to implementation of the 2008 ERS Recommendation:*

- i. Specify whether each of the following plans/guidelines have been implemented, and if not, specify the action that has been taken towards implementing each of these plans/guidelines:-*
  - International Plan of Action for Reducing Incidental Catches of Seabirds in Longline Fisheries:*
  - International Plan of Action for the Conservation and Management of Sharks:*
  - FAO Guidelines to reduce sea turtle mortality in fishing operations:*
- ii. Specify whether all current binding and recommendatory measures<sup>6</sup> aimed at the protection of ecologically related species<sup>7</sup> from fishing of the following tuna RFMOs are being complied with. If not, specify which measures are not being complied with and the progress that is being made towards compliance:-*
  - IOTC, when fishing within IOTC's Convention Area:*
  - WCPFC, when fishing within WCPFC's Convention Area:*
  - ICCAT, when fishing within ICCAT's Convention Area:*
- iii. Specify whether data is being collected and reported on ecologically related species in accordance with the requirements of the following tuna RFMOs. If data are not being collected and reported in accordance with these requirements, specify which measures are not being complied with and the progress that is being made towards compliance:-*
  - CCSBT<sup>8</sup>:*
  - IOTC, for fishing within IOTC's Convention Area:*
  - WCPFC, for fishing within WCPFC's Convention Area:*
  - ICCAT, for fishing within ICCAT's Convention Area:*

---

<sup>5</sup> Paragraph 5.8 of the CDS Resolution specifies that "Members and Cooperating Non-Members shall undertake an appropriate level of audit, including inspections of vessels, landings, and where possible markets, to the extent necessary to validate the information contained in the CDS documentation."

<sup>6</sup> Relevant measures of these RFMOs can be found at: [http://www.ccsbt.org/site/bycatch\\_mitigation.php](http://www.ccsbt.org/site/bycatch_mitigation.php).

<sup>7</sup> Including seabirds, sea turtles and sharks.

<sup>8</sup> Current CCSBT requirements are those in the Scientific Observer Program Standards and those necessary for completing the template for the annual report to the ERSWG.

(b) Specify the number of observed ERS interactions including mortalities, and describe the methods of scaling used to produce estimates of total mortality (information should be provided by species –including the scientific name – wherever possible<sup>9</sup>):

	<b>Sector 1</b> (please name)		<b>Sector 2</b> (please name)	
<b>Most Recent Calendar Year (please specify)</b>				
Total number of hooks (shots for PS)				
Percentage of hooks (shots) observed				
	Total number of observed interactions/mortality			
	Interactions	Mortality	Interactions	Mortality
Seabirds				
Sharks				
Sea Turtles				
<b>Previous Calendar Year (please specify)</b>				
Total number of hooks (shots for PS)				
Percentage of hooks (shots) observed				
	Total number of observed interactions/mortality			
	Interactions	Mortality	Interactions	Mortality
Seabirds				
Sharks				
Sea Turtles				

(c) Mitigation – describe the current mitigation requirements:

(d) Monitoring usage of bycatch mitigation measures:

i. Describe the methods being used to monitor compliance with bycatch mitigation measures (e.g. types of port inspections conducted and other monitoring and surveillance programs used to monitor compliance). Include details of the level of coverage (e.g. proportion of vessels inspected each year):

ii. Describe the type of information that is collected on mitigation measures as part of compliance programmes for SBT vessels:

### **(3) Historical SBT Catch (retained and non-retained)**

Specify the best estimate (weight and number as available) of the historical fishing amounts of SBT for each sector (e.g. commercial longline, commercial purse seine, commercial charter fleet, domestic fleet, recreational) in the table below. The table should include the most recently completed fishing season. Figures should be provided for both retained SBT and non-retained SBT. For longline and recreational, “Retained SBT” includes SBT retained on vessel and “Non-Retained SBT” includes those returned to the water. For farming, “Retained SBT” includes SBT stocked to farming cages and “Non-Retained SBT” includes towing mortalities. If the number of individuals is known but the value in tonnes is unknown, enter the number of individuals in square brackets (e.g. [250]). Table cells should not be left empty. If the value is zero, enter “0”. It is recognised that for some sectors, the information requested in this table may not yet be available. Therefore, if the value is unknown, enter “?”. However, estimates are preferred over unknown entries. Cells containing estimates with a high degree of uncertainty should be shaded in light grey. A description of any estimation methods should be provided below the table.

<sup>9</sup> Where species specific information is available, insert additional line(s) for each species below the relevant Seabird, Sharks, and/or Sea Turtles sub headings.

Fishing Season <i>(e.g. 2011/12)</i>	Retained and Non-Retained SBT					
	Sector 1 <i>(please name)</i>		Sector 2 <i>(please name)</i>		Sector 3 <i>(please name)</i>	
	Retained SBT	Non-Retained SBT	Retained SBT	Non-Retained SBT	Retained SBT	Non-Retained SBT

**Report of CCSBT 21**

53. The action points shown in Table 1 were agreed by Members, noting that within the table, “External” refers to non-Member catches, while “Internal” relates to Members’ attributable catches.

**Table 1: Action points in relation to implementing the Attributable SBT Catch.**

	<b><u>External</u></b>	<b><u>Internal</u></b>	<b><u>ESC work schedule</u></b>
<b><u>2015</u></b>	<u>The EC initiates discussion on the principles and process for taking account of non-member catch in the 2018-20 TAC period. The ESC, CC and Members to undertake analyses to provide estimates of non-member catch. Commission market analyses on significant markets to contribute to estimating non-member catch.</u>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>1. Individual Member research on applicable sources of mortality and report back to ESC and CC for discussion and review.</u></li> <li><u>2. Members shall endeavour to set allowances to commence for 2016-17 quota years for all sources of attributable mortality based on best estimates and notify other Members by CCSBT22. If Members can’t they will notify CCSBT22 and explain why they are unable to and set a date by which they can set the allowance.</u></li> <li><u>3. The EC initiate discussion and agreement to a process for dealing with attributable catch within the next quota block (2018-20).</u></li> </ol>	<u>Collation of information on unreported mortalities and categorising this information in accordance with OM “fleets” (ESC19 Report).</u>
<b><u>2016</u></b>	<u>The ESC, CC and Members continue analyses to provide estimates of non-member catch. The EC decides on the adjustment to take account of non-member catch in the 2018-20 TAC period.</u>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>1. The EC if necessary continue discussion so as to agree on a process for dealing with attributable catch within the next quota block (2018-20).</u></li> <li><u>2. Individual Members continue research on applicable sources of mortality and report back to the ESC and CC for discussion and review.</u></li> </ol>	<u>ESC scheduled to run MP to recommend TAC for 2018-2020.</u>
<b><u>2017</u></b>	<u>The ESC, CC and Members continue analyses to provide estimates of non-member catch.</u>	<u>Individual Members continue research on applicable sources of mortality &amp; report back to the ESC and CC for discussion review.</u>	<u>ESC scheduled to conduct full stock assessment and the first formal review of MP.</u>
<b><u>2018</u></b>		<u>Full implementation of the common definition of attributable catch.</u>	

**CCSBT Authorised Vessel Resolution**

The flag Members and Co-operating Non-members of the vessels on the record shall:

- a) authorize their FVs to fish for SBT only if they are able to fulfill in respect of these vessels the requirements and responsibilities under the CCSBT Convention and its conservation and management measures;
- b) take necessary measures to ensure that their FVs comply with all the relevant CCSBT conservation and management measures;
- c) take necessary measures to ensure that their FVs on the CCSBT Record keep on board valid certificates of vessel registration and valid authorization to fish and/or tranship;
- d) affirm that if those vessels have record of IUU fishing activities, the owners have provided sufficient evidence demonstrating that they will not conduct such activities any more;
- e) ensure, to the extent possible under domestic law, that the owners and operators of their FVs on the CCSBT Record are not engaged in or associated with fishing activities for SBT conducted by FVs not entered into the CCSBT Record;
- f) take necessary measures to ensure, to the extent possible under domestic law, that the owners of the FVs on the CCSBT Record are citizens or legal entities within the flag Members and Co-operating Non-members so that any control or punitive actions can be effectively taken against them.