

CCSBT-ESC/2008/Info 03 (ESC Agenda item 4.1)

UPDATE STUDY OF THE REPRODUCTIVE ACTIVITY OF SBT CAUGHT IN INDONESIAN TUNA FISHERIES

Hety Hartaty and Zulkarnaen Fahmi

Prepared for the Twenty Fifth Meeting of The Extended Scientific Committee 31 August – 7 September 2020

RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR TUNA FISHERIES – MMAF Rep. of Indonesia

Summary

This paper provides updated information about reproductive studies of southern bluefin tuna (SBT) being undertaken in Indonesia. The standard reproductive classification was used to assess the ovaries of 54 females collected by the Indonesian scientific observer and the port landing monitoring program in Benoa, Bali. Samples were collected in March from the scientific observer program (n=5 samples) and in September to December (n=49 samples) from the port landing monitoring program. All samples were caught in area 1 of CCSBT statistical area by Indonesian tuna longline vessels. The length of SBT caught ranged between 136 and 186 cm fork length (cm FL). Gonad samples were fixed in 10% buffered formalin and then embedded in paraffin and standard histological sections were prepared (cut to 5 μ m and stained with H&E). Histological sections were classified using criteria of southern bluefin tuna and south Pacific albacore tuna. All samples were classified as mature fish. The development class were identified as spawning, spawning capable, regressing-potentially reproductive, and regressed 1. Based on its reproductive activity, 30% of the small fish (<150 cm FL) were spawning. Further ovary samples are required (and are currently being collected) from statistical areas 1 and 2 to further examine the reproductive activity of SBT.

Introduction

Tuna is an oviparous species that have asynchronous oocyte development and are multiple spawners (Schaefer, 1998, 2001). Accurate information of reproductive characteristics of tuna is an important factor in determining the regeneration capacity of a population. While macroscopic analysis of ovaries is useful for rapid field-based assessment of reproductive stage and maturity, incorrect assignment can have implications for precision and accuracy of the parameter estimates derived from these data. Microscopic/histological analysis is the most appropriate method to accurately assess maturity status and estimate reproductive parameters for tuna (Schaefer, 1998).

To collect scientific information on SBT, biological samples including gonads were collected by the Indonesian scientific observer and port landing monitoring program. The Indonesian scientific observer program started in 2005 but SBT gonads have only been collected since 2017. This paper provides updated information on the analysis of SBT gonads collected from Indonesian tuna fisheries.

1

Materials and Methods

Sample Collection

Ovaries were collected in 2019 from the Indonesia scientific observer and port landing monitoring program in Benoa, Bali. Samples were collected in March from the scientific observer program (n=5 samples) and in September to December (n=49 samples) from the port landing monitoring program. All samples were caught by Indonesian tuna longline vessels.

Fork length (FL) and body weight (gutted) were recorded for each fish from the port landing samples. Scientific observer samples do not have body weight data due to the limited equipment onboard the vessels. The length of SBT sampled ranged between 138 and 186 cm FL and weight ranged between 54 and 146 kilograms. Straight fork length was measured using caliper that has a precision of 1 cm. All samples were captured in area 1 of CCSBT statistical areas. The captured area was obtained from the Catch Documentation Scheme (CDS) data.

For the observer sampling, a cross-section was removed from the anterior, middle and posterior of one ovary lobe from each fish, which was then frozen through the fishing trip. For the port sampling, the whole ovary cannot be sampled as the gonads are removed at sea, along with the gills and guts, leaving only the posterior part of the gonad available for sampling (Appendix 1). Samples from the landing port were in fresh condition when collected.

Samples were then transferred to the laboratory and immediately fixed in 10% buffered formalin before being placed in a cassette. Samples were embedded in paraffin and standard histological sections prepared (cut to 5 µm and stained with H&E).

Histological classification

Histological sections were classified using criteria for southern bluefin tuna and South Pacific albacore tuna (Davis et al., 2003; Farley et al., 2013). Females were classified into development stages based on the most advanced group of oocytes (MAGO), postovulatory follicles (POFs), alpha and beta atresia, and maturity markers present in the ovary (see Appendix 2 for classification used). The most advanced group of oocytes (MAGO) was staged into one of 5 classes: unyolked, early yolked, advanced yolked, migratory nucleus or hydrated oocytes (Appendix 3). Each ovary was also scored based on the presence or absence of postovulatory follicles (POFs) (Appendix 4). The maturity markers considered were well defined muscle bundles, numerous brown bodies, residual hydrated oocytes and the thickness of the ovary wall

(Appendix 5). The maturity markers are considered as signs of prior reproductive activity (Farley et al., 2016; 2013; Zischke et al., 2013).

Ovaries containing advanced yolked, migratory nucleus or hydrated oocytes and/or POFs were classed as mature, and ovaries with unyolked or early yolked oocytes as the MAGO but with maturity markers present were also classed as mature as well. Ovaries containing unyolked and early yolked oocytes as the MAGO but no POFs, atresia or maturity markers were classed as immature (Farley et al., 2013).

Results

Based on the study, all 54 ovaries examined were classed as mature; 14 were classed as spawning (25.9%), 29 as spawning capable (53.7%), 10 as regressing-potentially reproductive (18.5%), and 1 as regressed 1 (1.9%). Brown bodies were found in all samples but only one sample had residual hydrated oocytes (Appendix 5e). The brown bodies were in the very late stages of atresia (gamma/delta) and were yellow-orange-brown in colour (Appendix 5a, b); these are linked to post-spawning activity in the gonad (Farley et al., 2013; Hunter & Macewicz, 1985). Approximately 83% of fish had well defined muscle bundles that indicated previous spawning. Muscle bundle were not observed in the remaining ovaries, possibly due to the limitation of the samples being too small (i.e., the remaining posterior part of the gonad) or not enough tissue was to cut for the histology section. Muscle bundles are distinct structures of remnant muscle and connective tissue surrounding blood vessels and are indicator of previous spawning (Brown-Peterson et al., 2011; Lowerre-Barbieri et al., 2011).

The size of the female SBT samples ranged between 138-186 cm FL. Fish classed as spawning ranged between 142-186 cm Fl, spawning capable 138-170 cm FL, regressing 146-182 cm FL and there was only one fish classed as regressed 1, which was 154 cm FL (Fig. 1). The same length range of fish was analysed in the previous year samples; they ranged between 136-185 cm FL (Appendix 6). Fish <155 cm FL in the Indonesian catch are considered to be small/young fish (Farley et al., 2007; Sulistyaningsih et al., 2018). In our study 55% of fish sampled would be categorized as small/young individual, and 30% of those were classed as spawning based on our histological analysis. However, further ovary samples are required (and are currently being collected) from statistical areas 1 and 2 to further examine the reproductive activity of SBT.



Figure 1. Length frequency of female SBT in each development class caught in area 1 (n=54)

Acknowledgement

The success of the SBT monitoring program in Indonesia has only been possible due to the dedicated efforts of all participating scientists at Research Institute for Tuna Fisheries (Bali), in particular that of the observers and the enumerators and the laboratory assistants Ms Indrastiwi Pramulati and Ms Desy Shintya Irene in collecting and preparing the gonad samples. We also thank Ms Jessica Farley for the assistance and the contribution to the development of the reproductive biology of tuna studies.

References

- Brown-Peterson, N. J., Wyanski, D. M., Saborido-Rey, F., Macewicz, B. J., & Lowerre-Barbieri, S. K. (2011). A Standardized Terminology for Describing Reproductive Development in Fishes. Marine and Coastal Fisheries, 3(1), 52–70.
- Davis, T. L. O., Farley, J., Bravington, M., & Andamari, R. (2003). Size at first maturity and recruitment into egg production of southern bluefin tuna: Final report. CSIRO Marine Research.
- Farley, J., Andamari, R., & Proctor, C. (2007). Update on the length and age distribution of SBT in the Indonesian longline catch. CCSBT 8th Meeting of the Stock Assessment Group and the 12th Meeting of the Extended Scientific Committee, 4–8.
- Farley, J., Clear, N., Kolody, D., Krusic-Golub, K., Eveson, P., & Young, J. (2016). Determination of swordfish growth and maturity relevant to the southwest Pacific stock. CSIRO Oceans & Atmosphere, Hobart. CSIRO Oceans & Atmosphere, Hobart.
- Farley, J. H., Williams, A. J., Hoyle, S. D., Davies, C. R., & Nicol, S. J. (2013). Reproductive Dynamics and Potential Annual Fecundity of South Pacific Albacore Tuna (Thunnus alalunga). PLoS ONE, 8(4), e60577. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0060577
- Hartaty, H., Fahmi, Z., & Farley, J. (2019). Study of the Reproductive Activity of SBT Caught in Indonesian Tuna Fisheries (CCSBT-ESC/1909/42; p. 8).
- Hunter, J. R., & Macewicz, B. J. (1985). Rates of atresia in the ovary of captive and wild northern anchovy, Engraulis mordax. Fishery Bulletin, 83(2), 119–136.
- Lowerre-Barbieri, S. K., Ganias, K., Saborido-Rey, F., Murua, H., & Hunter, J. R. (2011). Reproductive Timing in Marine Fishes: Variability, Temporal Scales, and Methods. Marine and Coastal Fisheries, 3(1), 71–91. https://doi.org/10.1080/19425120.2011.556932
- Schaefer, K. M. (1998). Reproductive biology of yellowfin tuna Thunnus albacares in the eastern Pacific Ocean. IATTC, 21(5), 05–269.
- Schaefer, K. M. (2001). Reproductive biology of tunas. Fish Physiology, 19, 225–270.
- Sulistyaningsih, R., Farley, J., & Proctor, C. (2018). Update on the length and age distribution of southern bluefin tuna (SBT) in the Indonesian longline catch. CCSBT-ESC/1809/09.
- Zischke, M. T., Farley, J. H., Griffiths, S. P., & Tibbetts, I. R. (2013). Reproductive biology of wahoo, Acanthocybium solandri, off eastern Australia. Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries, 23(4), 491–506.

Appendix 1. SBT gonad samples, (a) cross-section of 3 parts of the gonad; (b-c) port landing samples, mostly get remainder of the posterior part only; (d-e) observer samples, mostly get complete gonad pair



Appendix 2. Histological classification criteria based on the most advanced group of oocytes (MAGO), postovulatory follicles (POFs), atresia and maturity markers. UY = unyolked, EY = early yolked, AY = advanced yolked, Mn = migratory nucleus, H = hydrated.

	Maturity Development			Δt	racia	Maturity Marker								
Class	Status	Activity	Class	MAGO	POFs	Au	β							
						α		Daligebiowii	Ovary cross-	Ovary wall	Cords	Muscle	Auscle Residual	Oocytes structure
								Bodies	section			Abaant	Hydrated	T
1 Immat	Immature	Inactive	Immature	UY	No	No	No	Absent	Small	Thin	Thin	Absent	Absent	Usually
								or verysmall				or very small		compact
2	Immature	Inactive	Developing	EY	No	No	No	Absent	Small	Thin	Thin	Absent	Absent	Usually
								or very small				or very small		compact
3	Mature	Active	Spawning Capable	AY	No	< 50%	May be present	Maybe present	Large	Thick	Thick	Maybe present	Maybe present	Compact or disorganised
4a	Mature	Active	Spawning	AY	Yes	< 50%	May be present	Maybe present.	Large	Thick	Thick	Maybe present	Maybe present	Usually
														disorganized
														with space
														between oocytes
4b	Mature	Active	Spawning	Mn, or H	Possibly	< 50%	May be present	Maybe present	Large	Thick	Thick	Maybe present	Maybe present	Usually
														disorganized
														with space
														between oocvtes
	Mature	Inactive	Regressing - Potentially Reproductive	AY	No	>50%	May be present	Many,	Large	Large Thick	Thick	Many,	Maybe present	Usually
												often large		uisorgunized
5								often large						with space
														between oocytes
								or in clumps				and "folded"		
6a	Mature	Inactive	Regressed 1	UY/ EY	No	100%	May be Present	Many	Medium	Thick	Thick	Many	Maybe present	Usually
								ivitary,						disorganized
								often large				often large		with space
														between oocytes
								or in clumps				and "folded"		
6b	Mature	Inactive	Regressed 2	UY/ EY	No	No	Yes	Many.	Small	Thick	inner than class	Many.	Maybe present	Disorganized but
								smaller than				smaller than		usually more
								class 6a				class 6a		compact than 6ba
7	Mature	Inactive	Regenerating	UY/ EY	No	No	No	Many,	Small	icker than cla	inner than class	Many,	Maybe present	Disorganized but
								smaller than				smaller than		usually more
								class 6b				class 6a		compact than 6b

Appendix 2. Oocytes development classes in histological section of SBT ovaries: (a) Unyolked (UY, black arrows); (b) early yolked (EY); (c) advanced yolked (AY, black arrows); (d) migrated nucleus (M); (e-f) hydrated oocytes (H).



Appendix 3. Atresia of advanced yolked oocytes and postovulatory follicles in SBT ovaries: (a) alpha atresia; (b) beta atresia (black arrows); (c-d) postovulatory follicles (POF).



Appendix 4. Histological section of SBT ovaries showing examples of maturity markers: (a-b) brown bodies (black arrows); (c-d) muscle bundles (black arrow, MB); (e) residual hydrated oocytes (RH); and (f) ovary wall.



Year/study	Length (cmFL)	Captured Area	Number of samples	Maturity	Gonad Classification	Data source
	136 - 185	1	3	All	Regressed 1	Observer
2017-2018	150 105		5	mature	Regressed 2	program
(Hartaty et al., 2019)		2			Spawning capable	
CCSBT-ESC_1909_42 rev.1	157 105		27	All	Regressing	Observer
	137 - 183			mature	Regressed 1	program
					Regressed 2	
					Spawning	Observer
2019	138 - 186	1	54	All	Spawning capable	and port
(this study)				mature	Regressing	landing
					Regressed 1	program

Appendix 6. Summary of the samples and reproductive activity of SBT from 2017-2019