



**CCSBT-ERS/1905/07** 

## Information and Correspondence from the Compliance Committee

### Introduction

This paper provides information and correspondence from the Compliance Committee that are relevant to the Ecologically Related Species Working Group (ERSWG). It contains three items of information and correspondence:

- 1. Information provided in Members' annual reports to the Compliance Committee on the Types of Information Collected on Bycatch Mitigation Measures;
- 2. Update to the CCSBT's Resolution on Minimum Standards for Inspection in Port to include information on compliance with Seabird Bycatch Mitigation Measures for longline vessels; and
- 3. Proposal from HSI and Birdlife International to the Compliance Committee to insert an additional ERS subsection on monitoring usage of bycatch mitigation measures into the annual CC/EC template.

# (1) Information provided in Members' annual reports to the Compliance Committee on the Types of Information Collected on Bycatch Mitigation Measures

The Eleventh meeting of the Ecologically Related Species Working Group (ERSWG 11) requested that the Compliance Committee collate information from Members on the types of information collected on bycatch mitigation measures under compliance programs for SBT vessels (e.g. port inspections and other monitoring and surveillance programs). In response to this request, the October 2015 meeting of the Compliance Committee added the following section to the annual reporting template for the Compliance Committee and Extended Commission.

- "(d) Monitoring usage of bycatch mitigation measures:
  - i. Describe the methods being used to monitor compliance with bycatch mitigation measures (e.g. types of port inspections conducted and other monitoring and surveillance programs used to monitor compliance). Include details of the level of coverage (e.g. proportion of vessels inspected each year):
  - ii. Describe the type of information that is collected on mitigation measures as part of compliance programmes for SBT vessels:"

The subsequent information provided to the Compliance Committee in 2016 was reported to ERSWG 12 in paper CCSBT-ERS/1703/07. The information provided to the Compliance Committee in 2018 is provided below for the ERSWG's information.

This information overlaps with the information specified in section 7 of the template for the Annual Report to the ERSWG, which requires reporting of the "Compliance Monitoring System (i.e. how is compliance measured)" for mitigation measures and the "Level of Compliance for each [mitigation] measure".

	Methods being used to monitor compliance with bycatch mitigation measures, including coverage level	Type of information collected
Australia	Australia uses a number of methods to monitor compliance, including compliance with bycatch mitigation measures. These methods include electronic monitoring, observer reports, vessel monitoring system, aerial surveillance, at sea inspections and port inspections.  As provided previously (Section 1d), in 2016/17 Australian fisheries officers conducted 17 inspections of SBT/ETBF boats, 16 inspections at sea and 1 inspection in port.	The information collected on mitigation measures includes;  • whether bycatch mitigation, such as tori lines, is being carried on board the vessel,  • whether bycatch mitigation has been deployed appropriately  • whether the bycatch mitigation complies with specifications.
EU	No information (not applicable)	No information (not applicable)
Indonesia	Inspection by surveillance officer	Catch composition including by-catch and ERS
Japan	During the 2017/2018 fishing season, Japan has dispatched monitoring and control vessel, Umesato of FAJ. She inspected 3 Japanese fishing vessels registered with the CCSBT through vessel radio communication and visual confirmation relevant to bycatch mitigation measures. The coverage is 3.5% (3 vessels / 86 vessels).	Fishers have been mandated to write down seabird bycatch mitigation measures applied to their operations in the logbook since 2014.
Korea	Bycatch mitigation measures used are observed and monitored through the scientific observer program and the electronic reporting system.	The information includes sea bird mitigation measures used for reducing its bycatch and data on ERS interaction including mortality.
New Zealand	Compliance with these measures is monitored through at-sea and in-port inspections from Fisheries Officers, aerial surveillance from military aircraft, and the placement of observers on board vessels. Observer reports indicating problems with use of mitigation equipment are prioritised for follow-up with vessel operators.	Fisheries Officers collect information about tori line and line-weighting gear that is present on vessels.  Observer reports provide information about mitigation gear usage, gear descriptions, and fisher attitudes toward seabird mitigation.
New	In the 2017 calendar year, the inspections undertaken found six incidents where breaches of seabird mitigation regulations may have occurred across the New Zealand surface longline fleet. Four cases resulted in warnings, while two cases are being assessed for possible prosecution.	

	Methods being used to monitor compliance with bycatch mitigation measures, including coverage level	Type of information collected
South Africa	All Large Pelagic Longline vessels are subjected to port inspection in line with Port State Measures and as per attached Annexure 5 <sup>1</sup> of the Large Pelagic Longline permit conditions. This port inspection is carried out by the Fishery Compliance Officers in conjunction with the Observers. This includes the Tori line measurements, checking the availability of the de-hooking devices as well as line cutters. In addition, Patrol vessels are from time to time tasked to randomly board the large pelagic longline vessels for the inspection of the above.	Through section B and C of the attached Annexure 5 <sup>1</sup> of the Large Pelagic Longline permit conditions, an Observer is required to confirm the deployment of Tori line every day as well as weighted lines.
Taiwan	We dispatch observer to monitor compliance with bycatch mitigation measures. The observer coverage rate is about 25% (15 vessels / 60 vessels) by vessel in 2016/2017 fishing season. Besides, all SBT authorized vessels operating at south of 25°S shall report the usage of bycatch mitigation measures by fishers by logbook and e-logbook since 2017/18 fishing season. For alternative way, fishers shall report their seabirds-mitigation measure (copies shown as Attachment C²) every week through Taiwan Tuna Association (TTA). Any conditions for not compliance identified during review by FA officials shall trigger further investigations and enforcement of sanctions.	Fishers shall report the measures adopted by its vessels to FA every week. Besides, observers shall record the mitigation measures adopted by the vessel on the observer's logbook since 2014.

# (2) Update to the CCSBT's Resolution on Minimum Standards for Inspection in Port to include information on compliance with Seabird Bycatch Mitigation Measures for longline vessels

ERSWG 12 requested that the Compliance Committee consider how to effectively monitor seabird mitigation measures through mechanisms such as port inspections and transhipment observers.

In its October 2018 meeting, the Compliance Committee revised Annex B of CCSBT's Resolution on Minimum Standards for Inspection in Port to include information on compliance with Seabird Bycatch Mitigation Measures for longline vessels. A copy of the modified Annex is provided at **Attachment C** for information, but it is too early to provide any data from the modified Annex.

# (3) Proposal from HSI and Birdlife International to the Compliance Committee to insert an additional ERS subsection on monitoring usage of bycatch mitigation measures into the annual CC/EC template

At the October 2018 meeting of the Compliance Committee, HSI proposed that consideration be given to inserting an additional ERS sub-section on monitoring usage of bycatch mitigation measures into the Compliance Committee's annual report template and was invited to prepare a working paper detailing the proposal.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Provided here as **Attachment A**.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Provided here as **Attachment B**.

HSI and Birdlife International subsequently submitted a proposal and the Compliance Committee agreed that Members would assess its feasibility and appropriateness prior its October 2019 meeting in terms of providing improved information for monitoring of seabird mitigation measures. The Secretariat was tasked with providing a copy of the proposal to the Chair of the ERSWG to seek ERSWG's feedback on the proposal.

The proposal from HSI and Birdlife International is provided at **Attachment D** for the ERSWG's consideration.

**Prepared by the Secretariat** 



## **Seabird Mitigation Checklist for Tuna Vessels**

## Section A (Prior Departure Inspection by Observer)

Date	Tori line length (150m)	Attachment point for tori line (>7 m high)	Bird dehooker device	Observer Name	Observer signature

Section B (Observer Report On Compulsory Measures)

Date	Tori line(s) deployed?	Night setting / (weighted lines)?	Comments	Skipper signature	Observer Name & signature

Section C (Observer Report On Additional Measures)

Date	Second tori line / (no full moon fishing)?	Weighted branch lines?	Skipper signature	Observer Name & signature
Date	/ (no full moon fishing)?	illies?	Signature	Signature

Instructions: mark boxes with TICK if Permit Holder complies or with a CROSS if Permit Holder does not comply

Attachment C

# Weekly Report of Seabirds Mitigation Measures Employed by SBT Fishing Vessel for 2017

Registration No : CT

Reporting Date:

Phone No Target Spe	: ecies : □SB	Γ <u> </u>	Fax:				
Operating Date	Area		Type of Seabirds Mitigation Measures				
yy/mm/dd	Latitude & Longitude	Bird-scaring lines (Tori lines)	Night setting with minimum deck lighting	Line weighting	others		
				a total of g attached within m of the hook			
				a total of g attached within m of the hook			
				a total of g attached within m of the hook			
				a total of g attached within m of the hook			
				a total of g attached within m of the hook			
				a total of g attached within m of the hook			
				a total of g attached within m of the hook			

Note

Name of Vessel:

Name of Fisheries Operator:

Any southern bluefin tuna fishing vessel proceeds to operate in area south of 25°S shall employ at least two seabird mitigation measures, one of which shall be tori lines, the other shall be either the night setting with minimum deck lights or weighted branch lines. Specifications are as shown in Appendix 4 of Regluation.

本會電話:07-8419606 傳真:07-8133304或8313304 本表請於傳真後再以電話向業務承辦人員確認是否收到。

另可以EMAIL方式傳送電子檔到simon@tuna.org.tw或nana@tuna.org.tw

業務承辦人:李冠廷(分機21)、陳孝儀(分機20)

## Report of the results of the inspection

Where applicable, verify to the extent possible, that the details noted during the inspection, e.g. vessel identifiers/other vessel details, authorisations and SBT quantities are true, complete, correct and consistent with the information provided in accordance with the port entry request form (Annex A).

1. Inspection report no7 検査報告番号			2. Port State 寄港	国	
3. Inspecting authority 検査当局		'		•	
4. Name of principal inspector 主任検査	官の名前		ID 身分証明書		
5. Port of inspection 検査港					
6. Commencement of inspection 検査の関	始日時 YYYY	年	MM 月	DD   B	HH 時
7. Completion of inspection 検査の終 了日時	YYYY 年		MM Я	DD 月	HH 時
8. Advanced notification received 事前i	通報の受領	Yes 有		No 無	
9. Purpose(s) <sup>8</sup> 目 LAN 陸揚げ 的	TRX 転載	PRO 加工	OTH (specify) その	他(特定)	
10. Port and State and date of last port call 最終寄港地、国及び日付	YYYY 年		ММ Я	DD	Ħ
11. Vessel name 船名		'		•	
12. Flag State 船籍国					
13. Type of vessel 船舶の種類					
14. International Radio Call Sign 国際無	線呼出符号				
15. Certificate of registry ID 登録番号					
16. Lloyd's IMO ship ID, if available IMO	船舶番号 あれば				
17. External ID, if available IMO船舶	番号 あれば				
18. Port of registry 登録港					
19. Vessel owner(s) 船主					
20. Vessel beneficial owner(s), if known as from vessel owner 船舶実質所有者(船主と異なり、わ					
21. Vessel operator(s), if different from vesse 船舶の運航者(船主と異なる場合)	l owner				
22. Vessel master name and nationality 船					
23. Fishing master name and nationality 漁労長の名前及び国籍					
24. Vessel agent 船舶の代理人				1	
25. VMS <sup>10</sup> 船舶監視システム No 無	Yes:National 有:国	Y	Yes:RFMO s	Type: 種類	

<sup>7</sup> Provide a unique reference number for this inspection report.

<sup>8</sup> Record the purpose of entry into Port by circling the relevant option(s): LAN - landing, TRX - transhipment, PRO - processing, OTH - other. For 'OTH', specify what this signifies, for example re-fuelling, re-supplying, maintenance, and/or dry-docking, etc.

<sup>9</sup> Record details of any external vessel markings e.g. registration and identification numbers that are additional to the information already provided on this form.

Circle the correct option(s) to indicate what type of VMS is on board the vessel: Circle 'No' if no VMS unit on board, 'Yes: National' if the vessel has a VMS that transmits to a Flag State, and/or 'Yes: RFMO(s)' if the vessel has a VMS that transmits to RFMO(s); for 'Type': Provide the type and model of any VMS unit(s) on board.

26.CCSBT Authori	sed Vessel list												
CCSBT Registration	Number:												
27. Relevant fishing			関係する		の当月	_							
Identifier <sup>11</sup> 確認者	r <sup>ii</sup> Issued by 著 発行者					ishing area(s) 操業海域			Species (1 3-Alpha co 魚種			Gear 漁具	
										SE	BT(SBF)	)	
28. Relevant transs	hipment author	rization	(s)/Trans	hipmen	t decl	aration(	s)	関係す	る転	最の	)当局	•	
Identifier <sup>13</sup> 確認。	Ĕ		Issued b	y 発行	者			Validity	14分	カ			
Identifier <sup>13</sup> 確認。			Issued b					Validity					
29. Transshipment		ncernii					_関	する転載					
Name 船名	Flag State 旗国		ID n 登録;		FAO 3	pecies -Alpha co 魚種		Type of l 製品)				area(s) 海域	Quantity (in kg) 数量
					SB	T(SBF)							
					SB	T(SBF)							
30. Evaluation of o	ffloaded catch	(quanti	ity) 荷餌	された	と漁獲	物の打	能定	(数量)					
Species (FAO 3-Alpha code) 魚種	Type of Product 製品形態	aı	Catch rea(s) 雙海城	6	Quantii leclare (in kg) 日告数	ed )	retained(in kg) qua		quantity	ence between quantity declared and antity determined, if any(in kg) 数量と確定数量の差(もし、 あれば)			
SBT(SBF)													
31. Catch retained		tity)	船上保	持漁獲	雙物	(数量)							
Species(FAO 3-Alpha code) 魚種	Type of Product 製品形態	aı	Catch rea(s) 雙海城	deci	Quantii lared(ii 自告数	n kg)	Quantity Difference between quantity retained(in kg) quantity determined, if 中告数量と確定数量のあれば)		ned, if any(in kg) 数量の差(もし、				
SBT(SBF)													
32. Examination of 機業日誌及びそ	f logbook(s) and	l other の調査	documen	tation			Yes 有		No ##		Con	nments	コメント
操業日誌及びその他の文書の調査 33. Compliance with applicable catch documentation			ion sch	eme(s)	)	Yes		No		Con	nments	コメント	
漁獲証明制度の遵守 34. Type of gear used 使用された漁具の種類				種類	有		無						
35. Fishing Gear et 調査された液			<u> </u>				Yes 有		No ##		Co	mments	コメント
36. Compliance with Seabird Bycatch Mitigation Measures (longline vessels only) 海鳥混獲緩和措置の遵守状況(はえ縄漁船のみ)													
a) Tick which Convention Areas this vessel fished for SBT in: 当該船舶がSBTを漁獲した条約水域をチェックすること。													
ICCAT (South of 25S) IOTC (South of 25 (南緯25度以南) IOTC (South of 25					]			W		South of 30S) 有緯30度以南)			

Note the Flag State CCSBT fishing authorization reference number(s), e.g. fishing licence number, and the CCSBT Registration Number for this vessel (if applicable).

<sup>12</sup> Record the dates for which the CCSBT fishing authorisation(s) is/are valid (if applicable)

For transhipment authorisations record "Authorisation" and the authorisation reference number(s) if available; for transhipment declarations record "TD"

<sup>14</sup> For transhipment authorisations, provide the dates for which the CCSBT authorisation(s) is/are valid (if applicable); for transhipment declarations, record the transhipment date.

b) Provide information on which mitigation measures were used and effectively implemented (including night settings) and any comments on seabird mitigation measures used. (どの混獲緩和措置が使用されたか、効果的に実施されたか(夜間投稿を含む)に関する情報を記入するとともに、使用された海鳥泥獲緩和措置に関するコメントを記入すること。)	
37. Findings by inspector(s) <sup>15</sup> 検査による所見	
38. Apparent infringement(s) noted including reference to re 関連する法律文書に明記されている明白な違反	
関連する伝律文音に明記されている明白な達及	
39. Comments by the master 船長のコメント	
40. Action taken <sup>16</sup> とられた措置	
41. Master signature 船長の署名	
42. Inspector signature 検査官の署名	

<sup>15</sup> Record whether there is any evidence to indicate that this vessel is/was involved in any SBT IUU fishing and/or fishing-related activities.

Record any evidence collected and/or seized in relation to suspected SBT IUU fishing or fishing-related activities, for example any photos or samples taken, and any seizure of gear, materials or documents. In addition, record measures that could potentially be taken to address any apparent infringements detected, as well as any relevant authorities/officials contacted.

# Proposed Amendment to the Template for the Annual Report to the Compliance Committee and Extended Commission

Humane Society International and BirdLife International, prepared for CCSBT CC 13, 12-10-18

# A Proposal to add a new sub-section to the Template for the Annual Report to the CC and EC regarding improved monitoring of implementation of seabird bycatch mitigation measures.

#### Introduction

Members' Annual Reports continue to identify uncomfortably high observed levels of seabird mortality associated with longline fishing and several Members have undertaken to look closely at their data with a view to identifying opportunities to further reduce seabird mortality.

To assist such efforts by Members and to facilitate appropriate reporting of the results of those efforts, the Secretariat is invited to develop a proposal for expanding the sub-section of the Template for the Annual Report relating to 'monitoring usage of bycatch mitigation measures.

The critical analytical and reporting issue to be addressed is that, while the seabird mortality mitigation measures adopted by other tuna RFMOs require their members to ensure that their authorised vessels use two out of three of the mitigation methods prescribed, there is no reporting arrangement to indicate what combinations of mitigation methods were being used when seabirds were killed.

As a result, it is difficult for the Secretariat to support informed discussion among Members with a view to identifying cost-effective opportunities to further reduce seabird mortality.

### The Proposal

That a new section 2 (d) iii be inserted in the Template (see Attachment A to Paper No. CCSBT-CC/1810/16, p.12):

-----

iii summary information on combinations of required use of two out of three approved mitigation methods for each longline set for all CCSBT authorised fishing vessels and subsequent seabird mortality associated with each combination.

Table A: Insert in each cell in the matrix, the total number of sets (and total number of hooks involved in those sets) involving each combination of mitigation methods

			OPTION 1		
		Tori pole and tori line	Night setting	Line weighting	None
OPTION 2	Tori pole and tori line				
)PT	Night setting				
	Line weighting				
	No second option				

NB the 'none' column is to allow Members to report instances where no mitigation methods were reported as being used for a particular set. Likewise, the 'no second option' row is to cover any instances where only one mitigation method was reported. Grey boxes should not be completed.

# Table B insert in each cell in the matrix, the total number of interactions with (and mortalities of) seabirds involving each combination of mitigation methods:

			OPTION 1		
		Tori pole and tori line	Night setting	Line weighting	None
OPTION 2	Tori pole and tori line				
	Night setting				
	Line weighting				
	No second option				

NB the 'none' column is to allow Members to report instances where no mitigation methods were
reported as being used for a particular set. Likewise, the 'no second option' row is to cover any
instances where only one mitigation method was reported. Grey boxes should not be completed.

/	//	end //	
---	----	--------	--