

CCSBT-ESC/0309/08

6.1. Characterisation of SBT Catch

Purpose

To review progress made with work on characterisation of the SBT catch and to advise on any additional catch characterisation work that should be conducted.

Background

This paper summarises the current status of the catch characterisation work in five sections, these being:

- (1) Catch characterisation data collection systems
- (2) Catch reporting standards
- (3) Catch reporting by members
- (4) Catch reporting by non-members
- (5) Enhancement of the Trade Information Scheme

(1) Catch characterisation data collection systems

At the seventh meeting of the Scientific Committee (SC7), it was reported that overall, members were collecting most of the crucial catch characterisation information specified in the Scientific Research Program. Some deficiencies in member's data collection systems had been identified and a working group prepared a report on these deficiencies together with recommendations for each member in relation to those deficiencies. The recommendations for improvements made by the working group are provided in Attachment A.

It is appropriate for the Scientific Committee to review how members are progressing with their consideration and/or implementation of the working group's recommendations. Australia has provided a brief update on its situation with implementing the recommendation relating to Australia¹, but the situation for Taiwan, New Zealand and Korea has yet to be updated. The working group made no recommendations in relation to Japan's data collection systems.

(2) Catch reporting standards

At SC7, catch reporting standards were discussed in relation to the agenda item for the development of the CCSBT database. However, catch reporting is probably better discussed in relation to catch characterisation.

There was general agreement at SC7 on most fields of catch reporting information that were specified as being required in the Secretariat's proposal on development of the CCSBT central database. Some minor amendments to the proposal were required. These were made and circulated to members on 1 November 2002 along with some templates designed to

¹ Australia's update: "Australia has taken measures expected to increase the already high accuracy of at-sea estimates of total weight in tow relative to in-port counts for quota purposes. These measures include a requirement for operators to explain any at-sea estimates that significantly differ from the final tow weight recorded in port. No system has been implemented to make live counts at sea but AFMA is considering options to do this in the longer term."

simplify the description of the data requirements. These templates are provided as Attachments B and C to CCSBT-ESC/0309/16.

There were four unresolved issues on catch reporting standards following SC7. These four issues were discussed during the intercessional period and the conclusions from these discussions are summarised below.

Issue	Conclusions
Provision of raised catch and effort data	Catch and effort data does not need to be provided
	in raised form (i.e. does not need to be raised to
	equal the total catch). However, in cases where the
	catch effort data is not provided in a raised form,
	then the member should either provide:
	• supplemental information with raised catches by
	month, gear and location; or
	• the necessary rules for the Secretariat to calculate
	raised catches for these strata from other data
	provided by the member.
What size data should be provided from parties who	Raw size data (i.e. the actual length and/or weight
cannot provide raised catch at size data?	measurements of individual fish) should be
	provided. These data should be provided for the
	same strata as required for the raised catch at size
	data.
Provision of catch data for species other than SBT	Provision of catch data for species other than SBT is
	optional unless decided otherwise at a later date.
Required data resolution	The resolution of data provided should not be
	coarser than 5x5 degrees. Provision of finer
	resolution data is optional unless decided otherwise
	at a later date.

(3) Catch reporting by members

All members have now provided current and historical catch and effort data, size data and catch by fleet data. Attachment B provides a summary of the type of data provided by each member and Attachment C compares the compliance of the data provided with the fields of information that are required to be provided.

Examination of Attachments B and C suggest that there are four main areas where further work on data provision by one or more members is required:

(i) Correct the main errors in historical data

Errors (or unlikely values) were detected in most of the historical data sets when loading these data to the database. The existence of errors in such data sets is extremely common. However, some members do not want their historical data to be used until the main errors have been corrected. Therefore, these data need to be corrected to a level where those members are willing for their historical data to be used.

- (ii) Provide the required fields of information
 Some of the data being provided (particularly the catch effort data) only contain a subset of the required fields of information. In those cases where the required information is being collected, members should work towards providing this information to the CCSBT.
- (iii) Provide the remainder of the historical time series where this has not been fully provided
- (iv) Provision of raised catch information (or a set of rules for calculating raised catches) in cases where raised catch and effort information is not provided.

(4) Catch reporting by non-members

CCSBT members have made considerable efforts to improve the estimation of Indonesia's SBT catch. The combination of Indonesia's estimated catch, the catch of CCSBT members and the catch of the Philippines² accounts for 98.7% of the catch reported in the global catch

² The Philippines has been reporting its catch since 1999.

table. However, the Secretariat has little information on the current catch of non-members who are not exporting SBT to CCSBT to member countries. The Secretariat intends to contact relevant non-member countries following CCSBT10 in an attempt to update the information on SBT catch of non-member countries.

(5) Enhancement of the Trade Information Scheme

The CCSBT Trade Information Scheme (TIS) has not been considered in recent catch characterisation discussions by the Scientific Committee. However, it is worth noting that CCSBT9 took some decisions that will improve the accuracy of the TIS scheme and may make the scheme a more useful source of catch characterisation information in the future. The most relevant decisions at CCSBT9 were that members should submit TIS documents with all their SBT exports (not just exports to members) and that exporting members should submit a list of all TIS documents issued to the Executive Secretary. These changes mean that all SBT exports of members should now be reported to the TIS scheme. The changes also enable reconciliation of the data to be conducted between exporters and importing members. Complete reconciliations are now being conducted on a six monthly basis.

To seek improved TIS data coverage from non-members, the Secretariat has recently contacted the current known non-member exporters of SBT (Indonesia, the Seychelles, and the Philippines) asking them to follow the lead of CCSBT members by issuing TIS documents for all SBT exports and by reporting this information to the Executive Secretary³. The Secretariat is also starting to seek the cooperation of non-members in requiring (and forwarding) TIS documents for any SBT that they import. The first country that the Secretariat has contacted in this regard is the U.S.A.

Prepared by the Secretariat

³ The Secretariat is also seeking the cooperation of these non-members in correcting some of the problems in the completion of their TIS documents

Recommendations for improvements in catch characterization data collection systems (from the report of the catch characterisation working group at SC7)

(1) Data items that are not being collected

This table lists items of data that the catch characterization working group recommended should be collected.

Member	Fishing	Item that is not collected (bold)	Comments and
	Method	The Member's comment/explanation (italics)	Recommendation from the
			catch characterization
			working group at SC7
Australia	PS	The number of SBT per shot (and other	Both the number and weight of
		species) is not recorded. As the fish are not	SBT should be recorded. It was
		landed aboard the vessel but rather left in the	recommended that work should
		water alive it is very difficult to collect this	continue on development of a
		data with any accuracy during the catching	technique to count the number of
		operation. It is conceivable that systems	fish during transfer to tow cages.
		could be developed to monitor the number of	Australia also noted that it
		fish during the transfer from the purse seine to	currently counts every fish, but
		the tow cage but this does not appear	on transfer from tow cages to
		warranted.	farms, not on a per shot basis.
Korea	LL	Position of set and haul is not recorded. We	This information is valuable to
		collect a noon position of the vessel because	collect and should be collected if
		Korean longliners set hooks extending over	possible.
		100km up to 150km (similar to Japanese	
		longliners).	
Korea	LL	Date and time of set and haul is not	This information is valuable to
		recorded. We do not collect date and time of	collect and should be collected if
		set & haul. Only the noon position of the	possible.
		vessel is collected	
New	LL	Position of haul is not recorded. The	It has yet to be verified whether
Zealand		position of naul is not recorded because it was	the position of hauf is specified
		considered to dad little daditional information	on the recently introduced NZ
		information were considered to be a higher	information is valuable to collect
		nriority	and should be collected if
		priority	possible
New	LL	Collection of individual weights with catch	Japan was disappointed with this
Zealand	LL	effort data will cease. With new form (due to	change because coverage of the
Louino		be implemented from 01/10/02) this will NOT	non-chartered fleet by observers
		be collected anymore, instead average weight	is low (\sim 5%) and the individual
		(total weight by number of fish). Observers	weight data was an important
		will continue to collect full biological data.	source of size frequency data for
			this fleet ⁴ . New Zealand was
			encouraged to develop
			alternative programs for
			collecting size data from the
			non-chartered fleet.
Taiwan	LL	Position of haul is not recorded	This information is valuable to
			collect and should be collected if
			possible. Taiwan will consider
			whether it is able to collect this
			information, but has advised that
			this may not be easy.

⁴ New Zealand explained that it consulted with Australia and Japan before making this change. The information was removed in part because quality of the data from the domestic fleet was regarded as poor. Generally domestic vessels cannot weigh fish at sea and only record estimated weights. In addition, domestic fishers were including other species weights and this was further reducing data quality.

Member	Fishing Method	Item that is not collected (bold) <i>The Member's comment/explanation (italics)</i>	Comments and Recommendation from the catch characterization working group at SC7
Taiwan	LL	Time of set and Date/time of haul is not recorded. <i>Taiwan has collected noon time</i> <i>position data.</i>	This information is valuable to collect and should be collected if possible. Taiwan will consider whether it is able to collect this information, but has advised that this may not be easy.

(2) Data that is being collected, but with a low sample size This table lists items of data where the catch characterization working group has recommended improved coverage.

Member	Item with low sample size (bold)	Comments and Recommendation from
	The Member's comment/explanation (italics)	the catch characterization working group
Korea	Small samples sizes of biological data (length, weight, sex etc.) are collected for SBT. Fishermen are supposed to report these	The working group encouraged improved collection programs to obtain an adequate coverage of size data.
	data but with practical difficulties length and/or weight data only have been reported by some vessels.	
Taiwan	Small samples sizes of biological data	The working group encouraged improved
	(length, weight, sex etc.) are collected for SBT.	collection programs to obtain an adequate coverage of size data.

Summary of the types of data that have been provided for the CCSBT database

Summaries of the types of catch effort and size data provided by members are shown in tables 1 and 2 below.

Table 1: Summary of the type of Catch Effort Data provided

This table summarises the type of catch and effort data that has been provided for the CCSBT database. Please read the footnotes for additional explanation of some of the information in this table. Also note that information in this table refers to the majority of the data provided by a member (not necessarily all the data). For example, the spatial precision of New Zealand's data is recorded as "Minute". This is true for the vast majority of New Zealand's data, but there is a small subset of the data in which the resolution is to the statistical area level.

	Australia	Taiwan	Japan	New Zealand	Korea
First year of data provided.	20015	1981	1969	1989	1991
Awaits error correction by Member before historical data is to be used (Y/N).	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	N
Type of Raising (R=catch effort data is raised, F=data is not raised, but the Secretariat has been informed how to raise the data, D=a separate raised catch dataset has been provided).	Nil ⁶	R	R	F	F
Gear types in the data (the gear codes listed here are those defined for the TIS with the exception of 'ML' which stands for 'Minor Line').	BB, HAND, LL, ML, PS, RR, TROL	LL	LL	HAND, LL, TROL	LL
Aggregated (A) or Shot by Shot (SS)	А	А	А	SS - LL A - Others	А
Catches of species other than SBT is provided (Y/N).	Y	Y ⁷	N	Y	N
Spatial precision of data.	5*5 - LL 1*1 - Others	5*5	5*5	Minute	5*5
Temporal precision of data (M=month, D=day, MI=minute).	М	М	М	MI - <i>LL</i> D - <i>Others</i>	М

⁵ Australia has provided detailed shot by shot data for 1975 to 2002. However, due to the complexity of these data and difficulties in interpreting these data correctly, Australia has requested that these shot by shot data not be used. Instead, Australia will provide a time series of aggregated data. Australia has also advised that the historic aggregated catch and effort data from 1972 will be provided to the Secretariat prior to CCSBT10.

⁶ Australia has advised that it will be providing a separate raised catch dataset. This dataset will commence in 1988 (at worst) or 1984 (at best) and Australia hopes to be able to provide this data for most years before the CCSBT10 meeting.

⁷ However, catch of species other than SBT is not available when the most recent year of data is first provided to the CCSBT.

Table 2: Summary of the type of Size Data provided

This table summarises the type of size data that has been provided for the CCSBT database. Please read the footnotes for additional explanation of some of the information in this table.

	Australia ⁸	Taiwan	Japan	New Zoolond ⁹	Korea
				Lealallu	
First year of data provided	1051	1003	1060	1979 - com	1001
Thist year of data provided.	1951	1995	1909	1987 - obs	1991
Type of data provided (RL=raised catch at length, IL=individual length data, IW= individual weight data, ILW=individual length and weight data	RL	IL	RL	IW - com IL - obs	ILW
Number of measurements obtained for 2002 (not shown for the raised data sets)	-	32,248 ¹⁰	-	4,826 - com 2,996 - obs	414
Gear types in the data.	LL, PS	LL	LL	LL	LL
Spatial precision of data.	<u>5*5 - LL</u> <u>1*1 - PS</u>	5*5	5*5	Minute	Minute
Temporal precision of data (M=Month, D=Day).	M	М	М	D	М

⁸ The underlined information shown for Australia relates to the size data provided for 2002. For earlier years, the spatial and temporal resolution was State and half month respectively and there was no breakdown by gear type.
⁹ "com" refers to weight measurements by commercial fishers, "obs" refers to length measurements by scientific

⁹ "com" refers to weight measurements by commercial fishers, "obs" refers to length measurements by scientific observers.

 $^{^{10}}$ This is close to Taiwan's total SBT catch, so it is possible that this may be raised data. Confirmation from Taiwan is required.

Comparisons of data items provided by members against data items that are required to be provided

Comparisons of the data items provided by members against the required data items have been provided for the three main data sets, these being:

- (1) Catch and effort data
- (2) Size data
- (3) Total catch by fleet data

Table 1: Comparisons for catch and effort data

The table below lists the required fields of catch and effort information and whether or not members have provided the required information¹¹. For simplicity, this table has been restricted to the major SBT fishing gears (LL and PS) and the results shown are for recent years of data. It should be noted that despite being labelled as required fields, it is accepted that there are cases where the information cannot be provided because it has not been collected.

Required Fields	Australia	Taiwan	Japan	New Zealand	Korea
Longline and Purse Seine					
Year	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	
Month	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	
Country	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	
Fleet	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	
Gear	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	
Target species	×	×	×	\checkmark	×
SBT Statistical area	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	
Latitude	\checkmark				
Longitude	\checkmark				
Number of boats	\checkmark	×			×
Number of days fished	\checkmark	×		\checkmark	×
Number of Sets/Shots	\checkmark	×		\checkmark	×
Catch species	\checkmark			\checkmark	
Weight retained	\checkmark		×	\checkmark	
Number retained	$\sqrt{-LL}$ × - PS	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Number discarded	\checkmark	×	×	×	×
Conversion factor ¹²	×	×	\checkmark	\checkmark	×
Scaling factor ¹³	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Longline specific					
Number of hooks	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Number of baskets	×	×	\checkmark	\checkmark	×
Purse Seine Specific					
Gear length	\checkmark	-	-	-	-
Gear depth	×	-	-	-	-
Spotter type	×	-	-	-	-

¹¹ In cases where the required information has not been physically provided in the data, but the Secretariat was able to infer the required information, then the information is marked as having been provided. For example, the SBT statistical area can be calculated from the latitude and longitude.

¹² Conversion factors are only relevant where weights originate from processed fish.

¹³ Scaling factors are only relevant where the catch is estimated from a sub sample of fish. Where this has not been explicitly provided, the scaling factor is assumed to be one (no scaling).

Table 2: Comparisons for size data

Required Fields	Australia	Taiwan	Japan	New Zealand ¹⁵	Korea
Year	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark
Month	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark
Country	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Fleet	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Gear	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
SBT Statistical area	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Latitude	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Longitude	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Length Class	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	$\sqrt{-obs}$	\checkmark
Weight	-	-	-	$\sqrt{-com}$	\checkmark
Class Size	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	-	-
Raw frequency ¹⁶	$ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{x} - LL \\ \sqrt{-PS} \end{array} $	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Raw converted frequency	×	×	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Adjusted frequency ¹⁷		-		-	-
Substitution code ¹⁸	×	-		-	-

The table below lists the required fields of size information and whether or not members have provided the required information¹⁴.

Table 3: Comparisons for total catch by fleet data

The table below lists the required fields of total catch by fleet information and whether or not members have provided the required information¹⁹.

Required Fields	Australia ²⁰	Taiwan	Japan	New Zeeland ²¹	Korea ²²
Data hu Calandar Vaar				Zealallu	
Data by Calendar Tear	1			1	
Year					\checkmark
Fleet	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Gear	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Number of boats	×	×	×	×	\checkmark
Weight of SBT Caught	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Number of SBT Caught	\checkmark	×	×	×	\checkmark
Data by Quota Year					
Year	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Fleet	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Gear	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Number of boats	×	×	\checkmark	×	\checkmark
Weight of SBT Caught				\checkmark	
Number of SBT Caught		×	×	×	×

¹⁴ In cases where the required information has not been physically provided in the data, but the Secretariat was able to infer the required information, then the information is marked as having been provided. ¹⁵ "com" refers to weight measurements by commercial fishers, "obs" refers to length measurements by

scientific observers.

¹⁶ There is some confusion over the meaning of this field for raised data. It was intended that this field would always contain the actual number of fish measured in a strata. However, for both Japan and Australia, this is often presented as a non-integer number, so something different appears to have been provided by these countries.

¹⁷ Only relevant when the size data is substituted and/or raised.

¹⁸ Only relevant when the size data is substituted and/or raised.

¹⁹ In cases where the required information has not been physically provided in the data, but the Secretariat was able to infer the required information, then the information is marked as having been provided.

²⁰ Gear for Australia is reported as longline or surface fishing.

²¹ For New Zealand, the total number of boats can be estimated from New Zealand's catch and effort data because that data includes unique vessel identifiers. An estimate of the total catch in numbers has been provided by New Zealand for years prior to 2002, but that total is not subdivided by gear and fleet. ²² The number of boats and the number of SBT are usually provided by Korea for each calendar year. However,

this has yet to be provided for 2002.