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Abstract.-The Eastern Tuna and Billfish Fishery was evaluated by an observer program in the 2001 and 2002 season. This report summarizes data on species composition and life status of 8,695 fish caught in the longline fishery. Tuna (6 species) comprised $69 \%$ of the catch, billfish ( 4 species) $4 \%$, sharks and rays ( 16 species) $4 \%$, and other fishes ( 17 species) comprised another $23 \%$ of the catch. The life status of the catch was categorized as alive-vigorous ( $40 \%$ all species combined), alive-sluggish ( $8 \%$ ), alive-just (12\%), and dead (40\%). The smallest species of tuna ( $<100 \mathrm{~cm}$ fork length: albacore tuna and skipjack tuna) had low proportions of live catch, while larger species ( $>100 \mathrm{~cm}$ fork length: yellowfin tuna, bigeye tuna, and SBT) had relatively high proportions. Within tuna species, the relationship between length and proportion of live catch was both positive and negative depending on the species. Models of southern bluefin tuna life status for individual fish suggest that longer soak times, cooler water temperature and larger body size increase the probability of mortality.

## Introduction

This work reports upon observer data on the catch and by-catch Australia's Eastern Tuna and Billfish Fishery (ET\&BF). These results are part of a larger study that was initiated as a two-year project to assist in the evaluation of management procedures for the fishery, with specific objectives to (1) determine the level of southern bluefin tuna (SBT) by-catch taken by a range of long-lining vessels within the ET\&BF over the June-September period in 2001 and 2002; (2) describe the extent to which by-catch of SBT by ET\&BF longliners varies with geographical location, time, and targeting practices; (3) describe the life status of SBT caught by ET\&BF longliners; and (4) using pop-up tags, determine the extent of post-release mortality in SBT caught and released by ET\&BF longliners and examine influence of SBT life status and set time. Although the by-catch and life status of other species was not a specific objective of this study, these data were nonetheless collected and are briefly summarized here.

## METHODS

Observer program and data.-Placement of observers on longline fishing vessels in the $\mathrm{ET} \& \mathrm{BF}$ first required the permission of vessel operators, many of which had concerns for confidentiality of data collected on their vessels. An agreement was reached whereby observers kept names of vessels and operators anonymous, and all data was signed-off by operators at the end of each observer trip. Observers were employed by CSIRO Marine Research (CMR) and the Australian Fisheries Management Authority (AFMA). Only CMR observers were deployed in 2001, and both CMR and AFMA observers were active in 2002.

Observers recorded location and time of set and haul operations, as well as sea surface temperature and sea conditions. Fishing practices of each set were described by number and depth of hooks, soak time (from start of set to end of haul), and nominated target species. CMR observers made additional observations of weather, target sea surface temperature, types of baits used, and distance and bearing to water temperature fronts. AFMA observers recorded the species name, length, and life status of each fish caught, however, under the confines of the confidentiality agreement CMR observers recorded this information only for the major target species of albacore tuna, bigeye tuna, broadbill swordfish, southern bluefin tuna, and yellowfin tuna. In office analyses, the weight of each SBT caught was estimated with the widely used lengthweight relationships from Robins (1963) for fish $<130 \mathrm{~cm}$ and Warashina and Hisada (1970) for fish $>130 \mathrm{~cm}$-as reported by Caton (1991).

Life status analyses.-The life status of fish caught by long line fishing was classified into three categories for dead fish (damaged, in rigor, or flexible) and three categories for live fish (just, sluggish, or vigorous). Because CMR observers only recorded this information for tunas and billfishes, we used only AFMA data (which was collected in 2002) to report general catch composition and life status.

We examined the effect of sea surface temperature (at start of set), soak time, number of hooks in set, and length of fish on SBT life status. Life status was considered by three different levels of aggregation (1) by all six categories; (2) by three categories (dead, intermediate alive, or vigorous alive); and (3) by two categories (dead or alive), and we used both CMR and AFMA data collected in 2001 and 2002. Box and whisker plots were used to examine single variable relationships, and multivariate relationships were explored using multinomial log-linear models that were fitted using
neural-networks (Venables and Ripley 2002). The latter were used to predict the probability of a fish being in any one of the life status categories.

## Results

Observation effort.-The observation program was directed towards a 153 day (May-September) period. In 2001, however, negotiations with boat owners to place CMR observers on vessels were not concluded until two months into the 2001 fishing season, and only 32 days ( $21 \%$ ) of this period was covered (all by CMR observers). In 2002 both AFMA and CMR observers were operating in 149 days ( $97 \%$ ) of this period. A total of 20 longline sets on 4 boats were monitored in 2001, which represented $11 \%$ of the total effort of the fleet that was overlapping with the observation area and time period (Table 1). In 2002 a total of 212 longline sets on 36 boats were observed, which represented $17 \%$ of the fleet effort. Observer effort over the two years of sampling ranged between latitude $29.5^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ and $39.8^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$, but was concentrated in a region bounded in the south by the area of greatest SBT catch, near $35^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ and in the north by latitude $30^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ (Fig. 1).

Catch composition.-Observers recorded 54 fish species, 4 sea bird species and one species of turtle in the longline catch (Table 2). There were 6 species of tuna, 4 of billfish, 16 of shark, and 17 species of other fishes. Tunas made up $69 \%$ of the catch, billfishes $4 \%$, sharks $4 \%$, and other fishes $23 \%$. There were also 12 sea birds ( 3 species) and 1 turtle reported in the longline catch. Yellowfin tuna accounted for the majority (39\%), followed by albacore tuna (15\%), black oilfish (9\%), and SBT (6\%).

Life status of all fishes.-Table 3 is a summary of only the AFMA data set for life status of all fishes, since CMR observers only recorded information for commercial speciers. In considering the life status of all fishes combined, there was an equal split between the proportions of those caught dead and those caught in an alive-vigorous condition (Table 3). Shark species generally had high proportions of alive-vigorous catch where there were ten or more catch records. Of the other fishes category (with 10 or more records), the greatest proportion of dead catch was recorded for barracouta ( $80 \%$ ), followed by long nosed lancetfish ( $68 \%$ ) and snake mackerel ( $67 \%$ ). Relatively high proportions of alive-vigorous catch were recorded for sunfish ( $80 \%$ ) and dolphinfish (71\%). Of billfishes, the lowest proportion of dead catch (21\%) was in blue marlin and the highest proportion was in broadbill swordfish (54\%). Seabirds
appeared to suffer a high level of mortality when caught by the long line gear $(92 \%$ for the 11 records of all seabirds combined).

In tunas there was a wide variation ( $29 \%$ to $88 \%$ ) in proportions of dead catch, but there was a general split between species with high and low proportions of dead catch. Albacore tuna, mackerel tuna, and skipjack tuna had the highest proportions of dead catch, while bigeye tuna, SBT, and yellowfin tuna had relatively low proportions of dead catch. These differences in proportion appear to be related to the average size of species (Fig. 2a), such that species less than 100 cm in average length (skipjack tuna, albacore tuna) had relatively low survival and larger species (yellowfin tuna, bigeye tuna, SBT) had relatively high survival. Although these between species differences suggest survival generally increases with size, this is not always the case within a species (Fig. 2b), and both negative and positive relationships between length and survival were found in tuna species considered here.

Life status of SBT.-Initial analysis of the box and whisker plots of factors associated with life status of SBT (Fig. 3) indicate that SBT death increased significantly with soak time of sets. The same held true for number of hooks per set, and as one might expect these two factors were highly correlated ( $r=0.59$ ). There was a weak trend towards increased death at lower water temperatures, but no significant difference between the average length of live and dead SBT.

Since number of hooks was highly correlated with soak time it was excluded from the building of life status models, and only soak time, fish length, and sea-surface temperature (SST) were evaluated. We considered models with polynomial terms up to 4th order. While models with higher order terms appeared to yield ever higher levels of predictive success and ever lower AIC values (which denotes increased parsimony), these models never converged upon an optimum, thus there was no objective means for final model selection. Models with $2^{\text {nd }}, 3^{\text {rd }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ order polynomials produced qualitatively similar results, and to avoid models with ultra-high order polynomial terms we decided upon a model with 4th order terms: probability (life status x ) $=$ soak $^{4}+$ fish length ${ }^{4}+$ SST $^{4}$, where x denotes one of the life status categories.

To illustrate the relationship between fish length, SST, and soak time we generated plots of the probability of an individual fish belonging to the different life status categories as a function of soak time while holding SST and fish length constant at three values that reflected the minimum, mean and maximum for the data. The plots in

Fig. 4 generally suggest the probability of a SBT being alive and vigorous (life status category 3 in Fig. 4) decreases markedly with soak time. In most cases the probability was less than $50 \%$ after 7 hours (which is less than the 5 th percentile in the distribution of soak times observed). Additionally the model predicts the probability of an SBT being dead decreases with water temperature and increases markedly with length at and above sea surface temperatures of $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## DISCUSSION

The data set reported here represents a relatively large proportion of the fleet over a broad region of the ET\&BF. However, these results should be considered only as a general snapshot for these years, as it can be expected that species composition and life status may be affected by year-to-year variation in ocean conditions. For instance, the southern edge of the Eastern Australian Current (EAC) was positioned near $35.5^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ in both 2001 and 2002, and SST was near $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Conversely, in July 2003 the southern edge of the EAC (and SSTs of $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) was as far north as $30^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$. This has the potential to shift species composition of the catch in favour of cool water species.

Our multivariate analysis of SBT life status must be considered as preliminary, since our models did not converge upon an optimum. However the generality of increased probability of death with soak time and fish length at higher sea surface temperatures does raise the possibility of formulating management actions to minimize SBT mortality in the longline fishery. Our analysis of other tuna species raises interesting questions of between species differences in mortality in longline catch. The smallest species of tuna (albacore tuna and skipjack tuna) had relatively low proportions of live catch, while larger species (yellowfin tuna, bigeye tuna, SBT) had higher proportions. Given that tunas require continuous swimming to maintain ramgill ventilation, one could easily speculate that towing a snood line that is fixed to a longline set presents a greater challenge for smaller fish. However, this idea is countered by the strong negative relationships between body size and proportion of live catch for albacore tuna and SBT, suggesting there might be morphological (Magnuson 1973) or physiological (Brill 1994) differences between tuna species affecting their ability to survive. Sharp (1978, as interpreted by Brill 1994 in his Table 1) found sensitivity to low levels of dissolved oxygen to increase with body size $(50 \mathrm{~cm}$ vs. 75 cm fork length) for skipjack tuna, yellowfin tuna, and bigeye tuna, but to decrease with body size for albacore tuna. Moreover, the effect of fish length on SBT
mortality was temperature dependent (Fig. 4), and thus the observed levels of mortality could be altered by a change in ocean conditions. We suggest further investigations into physiological and environmental factors may prove useful in understanding tuna mortality in longline catch.
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Table 1. Fleet size and observation effort of the eastern tuna and billfish fishery. Fleet effort tallied from vessel logbook records supplied by AFMA and is only for sets that were overlapping (in space and time) with AFMA and CMR observer operations. In 2001 CMR observers were operating from July 11 to August 11 and between latitudes $32.2^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ and $36.9^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$; in 2002 both AFMA and CMR observers were operating from May 1 to September 26 and between latitudes $29.5^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ and $39.8^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$.

| Year | Fleet | Observed | Coverage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 no. boats | 34 | 4 | $12 \%$ |
| no. sets | 182 | 20 | $11 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| 2002 no. boats | 82 | 36 | $44 \%$ |
| no. sets | 1,267 | 212 | $17 \%$ |

Table 2. Observed longline catch in the 2002 Eastern Tuna and Billfish Fishery.

| Species | Number | Percent | Species | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tunas |  |  | Other fishes |  |  |
| yellowfin tuna | 3,522 | 41\% | black oilfish | 999 | 11\% |
| albacore tuna | 1,320 | 15\% | Ray's bream | 361 | 4\% |
| skipjack tuna | 498 | 6\% | long nosed lancetfish | 265 | 3\% |
| bigeye tuna | 383 | 4\% | dolphinfish | 159 | 2\% |
| southern bluefin tuna | 241 | 3\% | oilfish | 57 | 1\% |
| mackerel tuna | 12 | <1\% | sunfish | 50 | 1\% |
| Total | 5,976 | 69\% | shortnosed lancetfish | 49 | 1\% |
| Billfishes |  |  | rudderfish | 24 | <1\% |
| broadbill swordfish | 201 | 2\% | snake mackerel | 15 | <1\% |
| striped marlin | 101 | 1\% | shortbill spearfish | 11 | <1\% |
| blue marlin | 19 | <1\% | barracouta | 10 | <1\% |
| black marlin | 11 | <1\% | pomfret | 5 | $<1 \%$ |
| Total | 332 | 4\% | long finned mako | 4 | <1\% |
| Sharks and rays |  |  | dealfish | 3 | <1\% |
| mako shark | 90 | 1\% | bigscale pomfret | 2 | <1\% |
| blue whaler shark | 70 | 1\% | slender barracuda | 1 | <1\% |
| bronze whaler shark | 50 | 1\% | great barracuda | 1 | <1\% |
| hammerhead shark | 48 | 1\% | Total | 1017 | 23\% |
| pelagic ray | 32 | <1\% | Sea bird |  |  |
| tiger shark | 13 | <1\% | flesh-footed shearwater | 6 | <1\% |
| manta ray | 10 | <1\% | black-browed albatross | 5 | <1\% |
| whaler shark | 9 | <1\% | great-winged petrel | 1 | $<1 \%$ |
| oceanic white-tipped shark | 9 | <1\% | Total | 12 | $<1 \%$ |
| thintail thresher shark | 7 | <1\% | Turtle |  |  |
| bigeye thresher shark | 4 | <1\% | green turtle | 1 | $<1 \%$ |
| silky shark | 4 | $<1 \%$ |  |  |  |
| dusky shark | 3 | <1\% |  |  |  |
| pelagic thresher shark | 3 | $<1 \%$ |  |  |  |
| crocodile shark | 1 | <1\% |  |  |  |
| porbeagle shark | 1 | <1\% |  |  |  |
| Total | 354 | 4\% | Total | 8,691 |  |

Table 3. Life status of longline catch in the 2002 Eastern Tuna and Billfish Fishery.

| Species | Number | Dead | Alive-just | Alive-sluggish | Alive-vigorous |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tunas |  |  |  |  |  |
| yellowfin tuna | 3,522 | 30\% | 6\% | 11\% | 54\% |
| albacore tuna | 1,320 | 78\% | 8\% | 7\% | 6\% |
| skipjack tuna | 498 | 88\% | 7\% | 3\% | 3\% |
| bigeye tuna | 383 | 33\% | 14\% | 18\% | 36\% |
| southern bluefin tuna | 241 | 29\% | 5\% | 2\% | 65\% |
| mackerel tuna | 12 | 83\% | 8\% | 0\% | 8\% |
| Billfishes |  |  |  |  |  |
| broadbill swordfish | 201 | 47\% | 16\% | 16\% | 20\% |
| striped marlin | 101 | 46\% | 1\% | 14\% | 40\% |
| blue marlin | 19 | 21\% | 0\% | 21\% | 58\% |
| black marlin | 11 | 45\% | 9\% | 18\% | 27\% |
| Sharks and Rays |  |  |  |  |  |
| mako shark | 90 | 22\% | 11\% | 16\% | 51\% |
| blue whaler shark | 70 | 4\% | 3\% | 11\% | 81\% |
| bronze whaler shark | 50 | 18\% | 4\% | 20\% | 58\% |
| hammerhead shark | 48 | 21\% | 8\% | 17\% | 54\% |
| pelagic ray | 32 | 0\% | 3\% | 9\% | 88\% |
| tiger shark | 13 | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% |
| manta ray | 10 | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% |
| whaler shark | 9 | 22\% | 11\% | 0\% | 67\% |
| oceanic white-tipped shark | 9 | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% |
| thintail thresher shark | 7 | 29\% | 14\% | 0\% | 57\% |
| bigeye thresher shark | 4 | 25\% | 0\% | 50\% | 25\% |
| silky shark | 4 | 0\% | 25\% | 0\% | 75\% |
| dusky shark | 3 | 67\% | 0\% | 0\% | 33\% |
| pelagic thresher shark | 3 | 33\% | 0\% | 0\% | 67\% |
| crocodile shark | 1 | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% |
| porbeagle shark | 1 | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% |
| Other fishes |  |  |  |  |  |
| black oilfish | 999 | 17\% | 12\% | 27\% | 45\% |
| Ray's bream | 361 | 35\% | 6\% | 10\% | 50\% |
| long nosed lancetfish | 265 | 68\% | 8\% | 18\% | 7\% |
| dolphinfish | 159 | 17\% | 5\% | 7\% | 71\% |
| oilfish | 57 | 12\% | 11\% | 26\% | 51\% |
| sunfish | 50 | 0\% | 2\% | 18\% | 80\% |
| shortnosed lancetfish | 49 | 49\% | 29\% | 8\% | 14\% |
| rudderfish | 24 | 0\% | 58\% | 29\% | 13\% |
| snake mackerel | 15 | 67\% | 13\% | 7\% | 13\% |
| shortbill spearfish | 11 | 45\% | 27\% | 9\% | 18\% |
| barracouta | 10 | 80\% | 0\% | 20\% | 0\% |
| pomfret | 5 | 40\% | 40\% | 20\% | 0\% |
| long finned mako | 4 | 50\% | 25\% | 0\% | 25\% |
| dealfish | 3 | 33\% | 33\% | 0\% | 33\% |
| bigscale pomfret | 2 | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| slender barracuda | 1 | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| great barracuda | 1 | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% |

Table 3 (continued).

| Species | Number | Dead | Alive-just | Alive-sluggish | Alive-vigorous |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sea birds |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ flesh-footed shearwater | 6 | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| black-browed albatross | 5 | $80 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $\quad$ great-winged petrel | 1 | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Turte   $0 \%$ $0 \%$ $0 \%$ <br> $\quad$ green turtle 8,691 $40 \%$ $8 \%$ $12 \%$ $100 \%$ <br> Total     $40 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |



Figure 1. Location of longline sets monitored by AFMA and CMR observers in 2001 and 2002. Southern limit of southern bluefin tuna (SBT) catch in 2002 was further south than in 2001, but northern limit was the same in both years.
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Figure 2. Percent of tuna caught alive by longline fishery versus length in terms of (a) species averages shown with $+/-1$ standard deviation, and (b) length class averages within each species, where each length class represents $50-227$ fish; ALB, albacore tuna; BET, bigeye tuna; SBT, southern bluefin tuna; SKJ, skipjack tuna; YFT, yellowfin tuna.


Figure 3. Box and whisker plots of factors associated with life status of southern bluefin tuna caught in longline fishery. Boxes enclose middle $50 \%$ of data and mean horizontal line. Upper and lower whiskers extend 1.5 interquartile ranges from edge of box and open circles are data points outside the interquartile range.
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Figure 4. Model predictions for probability of being in a life status category 1 (dead), 2 (intermediate alive), or 3 (alive and vigorous) for southern bluefin tuna caught by longline fishing as a function of soak time of longline set. Values at top of each plot give fish fork length and sea surface temperature (SST) used to generate plots. The probability of being dead (category 1) increases with soak time, and also with fish length at intermediate and highest temperatures, but not at the lowest temperature.

