

**Template for the Annual Report
to the Compliance Committee and the Extended Commission**

(Agreed at the 7th meeting of the Compliance Committee and adopted at the 19th meeting of the Commission)

If there are multiple SBT fisheries, with different rules and procedures applying to the different fisheries, it may be easier to complete this template separately for each fishery. Alternatively, please ensure that the information for each fishery is clearly differentiated within the single template.

This template seeks information on a quota year basis. Those Members/CNMs that have not specified a quota year to the CCSBT (i.e. Indonesia, EU, South Africa and the Philippines), should provide the information on a calendar year basis. Within this template, the quota year (or calendar year for those without a quota year) is referred to as the “fishing season”. Unless otherwise specified, information should be provided for the most recently completed fishing season. Members and CNMs are encouraged to also provide preliminary information for the current fishing season where the fishing for that season is complete or close to complete.

Table of Contents

I. Summary of MCS Improvements	2
(1) Improvements achieved in the current fishing season	2
(2) Future planned improvements.....	2
II. SBT Fishing and MCS Arrangements	2
(1) Fishing for Southern Bluefin Tuna	2
(2) SBT Towing and transfer to and between farms (farms only).....	5
(3) SBT Transshipment (in port and at sea)	6
(4) Landings of Domestic Product (from both fishing vessels and farms)	7
(5) SBT Exports	7
(6) SBT Imports.....	8
(7) SBT Markets.....	8
(8) Other.....	8
III. Additional Reporting Requirements	9
(1) Coverage and Type of CDS Audit undertaken	9
(2) Ecologically Related Species	9
(3) Historical SBT Catch (retained and non-retained).....	10

(c) Describe the system used for controlling the level of SBT catch. For ITQ and IQ systems, this should include details on how the catch was allocated to individual companies and/or vessels. For competitive catch systems this should include details of the process for authorising vessels to catch SBT and how the fishery was monitored for determining when to close the fishery. The description provided here should include any operational constraints on effort (both regulatory and voluntary):-

We are using Olympic system and the vessel owner is informed when the catch allocation is approximately 90% of the catch.

(d) Provide details of the methods used to monitor catching in the fishery by completing the table below. Details should also be provided of monitoring conducted of fishing vessels when steaming away from the fishing grounds (this does not include towing vessels that are reported in Section 2).

Monitoring Methods	Description
Daily log book	<p>Specify:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Whether this was mandatory. If not, specify the % of SBT fishing that was covered:- Logbook are required to be submitted and covers all SBT ii. The level of detail recorded (shot by shot, daily aggregate etc):- Shot by shot iii. Whether the effort and catch information collected complied with that specified in the “Characterisation of the SBT Catch” section of the CCSBT Scientific Research Plan (Attachment D of the SC5 report), including both retained and discarded catch. If not, describe the non-compliance:- Logbook are submitted to the Bureau of Fisheries iv. What information on ERS was recorded in logbooks:- Logbook are submitted upon landing at port v. Who were the log books submitted to¹:- BFAR port official vi. What was the timeframe and method² for submission:- Philippine Fisheries Code provides the vessel owner to submit logbooks. For non-compliance the commercial Fishing Vessel and Gear license will not be renewed vii. The type of checking and verification that was routinely conducted for this information:- viii. Reference to applicable legislation and penalties:- ix. Other relevant information³:-

¹ If the reports are not to be submitted to the Member’s or CNM’s government fisheries authority, then also specify whether the information will later be sent to the fisheries authority, including how and when that occurs.

² In particular, whether the information is submitted electronically from the vessel.

³ Including information on ERS, and comments on the effectiveness of the controls or monitoring tools and any plans for further improvement.

<p><i>Additional reporting methods (such as real time monitoring programs)</i></p>	<p><i>If multiple reporting methods exists (e.g. daily, weekly and/or month SBT catch reporting, reporting of tags and SBT measurements, reporting of ERS interactions etc), create a separate row of in this table for each method. Then, for each method, specify:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>i. Whether this was mandatory. If not, specify the % of SBT fishing that was covered:-</i> In addition to the submission of log book the vessel owner is required to submit monthly catch report. This is a mandatory requirement. <i>ii. The information that was recorded (including whether it relates to SBT or ERS):-</i> The information provided in the monthly catch report relates only to SBT catches. <i>iii. Who the reports were submitted to and by whom (e.g. Vessel Master, the Fishing Company etc)¹:-</i> Fishing company submit the monthly report to BFAR <i>iv. What was the timeframe and method² for submission:-</i> Monthly <i>v. The type of checking and verification that was routinely conducted for this information:-</i> <i>vi. Reference to applicable legislation and penalties:-</i> Fisheries Code of the Philippines <i>vii. Other relevant information³:-</i> 																																																	
<p><i>Scientific Observers</i></p>	<p><i>Specify:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>i. The percentage of the SBT catch and effort observed and the total number of days that observers were actually deployed for in the three previous seasons for each sector (e.g. longline, purse seine, commercial charter fleet, domestic fleet). The unit of effort should be hooks, sets and tows for longline, purse seine and towing respectively:-</i> <table border="1" data-bbox="395 898 1388 1115"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="2">Fishing Season (e.g. 2011/12)</th> <th colspan="3">Sector 1</th> <th colspan="3">Sector 2</th> <th colspan="3">Sector 3</th> </tr> <tr> <th>% effort obs.</th> <th>% catch obs.</th> <th>Obs. days deployed</th> <th>% effort obs.</th> <th>% catch obs.</th> <th>Obs. days deployed</th> <th>% effort obs.</th> <th>% catch obs.</th> <th>Obs. days deployed</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> </tr> <tr> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> </tr> <tr> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>ii. The system used for comparisons between observer data and other catch monitoring data in order to verify the catch data:-</i> <i>iii. Excluding the coverage, specify whether the observer program complied with the CCSBT Scientific Observer Program Standards. If not, describe the non-compliance. Also indicate whether there was any exchange of observers between countries:-</i> <i>iv. What information on ERS was recorded by observers:-</i> <i>v. Who were the observer reports submitted to:-</i> <i>vi. Timeframe for submission of observer reports:-</i> <i>vii. Other relevant information (including plans for further improvement – in particular to reach coverage of 10% of the effort):-</i> 	Fishing Season (e.g. 2011/12)	Sector 1			Sector 2			Sector 3			% effort obs.	% catch obs.	Obs. days deployed	% effort obs.	% catch obs.	Obs. days deployed	% effort obs.	% catch obs.	Obs. days deployed																														
Fishing Season (e.g. 2011/12)	Sector 1			Sector 2			Sector 3																																											
	% effort obs.	% catch obs.	Obs. days deployed	% effort obs.	% catch obs.	Obs. days deployed	% effort obs.	% catch obs.	Obs. days deployed																																									
<p><i>VMS</i></p> <p><i>The items of “ii” are required in association with the Resolution on establishing the CCSBT Vessel Monitoring System</i></p>	<p><i>Specify:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>i. Whether a mandatory VMS for SBT vessels that complies with CCSBT’s VMS resolution was in operation. If not, provide details of non-compliance and plans for further improvement:-</i> The Philippine Fisheries Code provides for the establishment of a Monitoring Control and Surveillance System to ensure that the fisheries and aquatic resources in the Philippine water and also in other oceans where our fishing vessels are operating are judiciously manage on a sustainable basis and to comply with the requirements of RFMO’s, where Philippine is a member. The Philippine BFAR required all commercial fishing vessels owner to submit tracking agreement authorization to monitor and track their vessel. <i>ii. For the most recently completed fishing season, specify:</i> 																																																	

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The number of its flag vessels on the CCSBT Authorised Vessel List that were required to report to a National VMS system: All Philippine flagged fishing vessels • The number of its flag vessels on the CCSBT Authorised Vessel List that actually reported to a National VMS system:- All Philippine flagged fishing vessels • Reasons for any non-compliance with VMS requirements and action taken by the Member:- None • In the event of a technical failure of a vessel's VMS, the vessel's geographical position (latitude and longitude) at the time of failure and the length of time the VMS was inactive should be reported:- Immediately • The procedures used for manual reporting in the event of a VMS failure (e.g. "manual position reporting on a 4 hourly basis"):- By fax and submit to BFAR by fishing company • A description of any investigations initiated in accordance with paragraph 3(b) of the CCSBT VMS resolution including progress to date and any actions taken:- None <p>iii. Reference to applicable legislation and penalties:- Philippine Fisheries Code of 1998</p>
At-Sea Inspections	<p>Specify:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. The coverage level of at sea inspections (e.g. % of SBT trips inspected):- No at sea inspection (IOTC observer at carrier vessel) ii. Other relevant information³:-
Other (use of masthead cameras etc.)	

(2) SBT Towing and transfer to and between farms (farms only)

(a) Describe the system used for controlling and monitoring towing of SBT from the fishing ground to the farming area. This should include details of:

- i. Observation required for towing of SBT (include % coverage):-
- ii. Monitoring systems for recording losses of SBT (in particular, SBT mortality):-

(b) Describe the system used for controlling and monitoring transferring of SBT from tow cages into farms. This should include details of:

- i. Inspection/Observation required for transfer of SBT (include % coverage):-
- ii. Monitoring system used for recording the quantity of SBT transferred:-
- iii. Plans to allow adoption of the stereo video systems for ongoing monitoring:-

(c) For "a" and "b" above, describe the process used for completing, validating⁴ and collecting the relevant CCSBT CDS documents (Farm Stocking Form, Farm Transfer Form):-

(d) Other relevant information³

⁴ Including the class of person who conducts this work (e.g. government official, authorised third party)

(3) SBT Transhipment (in port and at sea)

(a) In accordance with the Resolution on Establishing a Program for Transhipment by Large-Scale Fishing Vessels, report:

i. The quantities of SBT transhipped during the previous fishing season:-

Fishing Season (e.g. 2011/12)	Percentage of the annual SBT catch transhipped at sea	Percentage of the annual SBT catch transhipped in port
2011	None	100%

ii. The list of the LSTLVs registered in the CCSBT Authorised Vessel List which have transhipped at sea during the previous fishing season:- *None*

iii. A comprehensive report assessing the content and conclusions of the reports of the observers assigned to carrier vessels which have received transhipment from their LSTLVs:-

(b) Describe the system used for controlling and monitoring transhipments in port. This should include details of:

- i. Rules for and names of designated foreign ports of transhipment for SBT and for prohibition of transhipment at other foreign ports:-
Prior approval from Bureau of Fisheries and Aquatic Resources (BFAR) authorizing transhipment at port indicating the volume of SBT to be transhipped
- ii. Port State inspections required for transhipments of SBT (include % coverage):-
- iii. Information sharing with designated port states:-
- iv. Monitoring systems for recording the quantity of SBT transhipped:-
The Captain of the fishing vessel is required to monitor the quantity being transhipped and the signing of CDS (Catch Monitoring Form) after transhipment.
- v. Process for validating⁴ and collecting the relevant CCSBT CDS documents (Catch Monitoring Form, Catch Tagging Form):-
Submit by fishing company to BFAR, before issuance and signing of CDS documents
- vi. Reference to applicable legislation and penalties:-
- vii. Other relevant information³:-

(c) Describe the system used for controlling and monitoring transhipments at sea. This should include details of:

- i. The rules and processes for authorising transhipments of SBT at sea and methods (in addition to the presence of CCSBT transhipment observers) for checking and verifying the quantities of SBT transhipped:-
Prior approval from Bureau of Fisheries and Aquatic Resources (BFAR) authorizing transhipment at sea indicating the volume of SBT to be transhipped and inform IOTC / CCSBT for an observer on board carrier vessel.
- ii. Monitoring systems for recording the quantity of SBT transhipped:-
The Captain of the fishing vessel and observer on board carrier vessel are required to monitor the quantity being transhipped
- iii. Process for collecting the relevant CCSBT CDS documents (Catch Monitoring Form, Catch Tagging Form):-
Submit by fishing company to BFAR, before issuance and signing of CDS documents
- iv. Reference to applicable legislation and penalties:-
- v. Other relevant information³:-

(4) Landings of Domestic Product (from both fishing vessels and farms)

(a) Specify the approximate percentage of the annual SBT catch that was landed as domestic product.

(b) Describe the system used for controlling and monitoring domestic landings of SBT. This should include details of:

- i. Rules for designated ports of landing of SBT:-
- ii. Inspections required for landings of SBT (including % coverage):-
- iii. Monitoring systems for recording the quantity of SBT landed:-
- iv. Process for validating⁴ and collecting the relevant CCSBT CDS documents (Catch Monitoring Form, and depending on circumstances, Catch Tagging Form):-
- v. Reference to applicable legislation and penalties:-
- vi. Other relevant information³:-

(5) SBT Exports

(a) Specify the quantity of the domestic catch that was exported and provide an estimate of the total quantity of the domestic SBT catch (in tonnes to 1 decimal place) that was retained within the country/fishing entity (i.e. the quantity can be estimated by subtracting the total export from domestic catch) during each of the last 3 fishing seasons to each country/fishing entity.

All Catch are exported to Japan

Fishing Season (e.g. 2011/12)	Estimate of retained within the country/fishing entity (Domestic catch-Export) Consumption	SBT Exported to							
		Country / Fishing Entity							
2010	36,995	Japan							
2011	39,127	Japan							
2012	39,568	Japan							

(b) Describe the system used for controlling and monitoring exports of SBT (including of landings directly from the vessel to the foreign importing port). This should include details of:

- i. Inspections required for export of SBT (including % coverage):
Comply with import requirement of the importing country
- ii. Monitoring systems for recording the quantity of SBT exported:-
SBT export is accompanied by CCSBT CDS documents and compared with submitted monthly catch report of the vessel.
- iii. Process for validating⁴ and collecting the relevant CCSBT CDS documents (Catch Monitoring Form and depending on circumstances, Catch Tagging Form or Re-export/Export after landing of domestic product Form):-
100% of the catch is exported to Japan.
- iv. Reference to applicable legislation and penalties:-
- v. Other relevant information³:-

(6) SBT Imports

(a) Specify the total quantity of SBT (intones to 1 decimal place) imported during each of the last 3 fishing seasons from each country/fishing entity.

Fishing Season (e.g. 2011/12)	SBT Imported from								
	Country / Fishing Entity 1	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:

(b) Describe the system used for controlling and monitoring imports of SBT. This should include details of:

- i. Rules for designated ports for import of SBT:-
- ii. Inspections required for import of SBT (including % coverage):-
- iii. Process for checking and collecting CCSBT CDS documents (Catch Monitoring Form and depending on circumstances, Re-export/Export after landing of domestic product Form):-
- iv. Reference to applicable legislation and penalties:-
- v. Other relevant information³:-

(7) SBT Markets

(a) Describe any activities targeted at points in the supply chain between landing and the market:-

(b) Describe the system used for controlling and monitoring of SBT at markets (e.g. voluntary or mandatory requirements for certain documentation and/or presence of tags, and monitoring or audit of compliance with such requirements):-

(c) Other relevant information³

(8) Other

Description of any other MCS systems of relevance.

III. Additional Reporting Requirements

(1) Coverage and Type of CDS Audit undertaken

As per paragraph 5.9 of the CDS Resolution, specify details on the level of coverage and type of audit undertaken, in accordance with 5.8⁵ of the Resolution, and the level of compliance.

100%

(2) Ecologically Related Species

(a) Reporting requirements in relation to implementation of the 2008 ERS Recommendation:

- i. Specify whether each of the following plans/guidelines have been implemented, and if not, specify the action that has been taken towards implementing each of these plans/guidelines:
 - *International Plan of Action for Reducing Incidental Catches of Seabirds in Longline Fisheries:*
Seabirds are under jurisdiction of other agency. But the vessel captain of Philippine flagged fishing vessels had been instructed to avoid incidental catch of seabirds to avoid and mitigate such mortality.
 - *International Plan of Action for the Conservation and Management of Sharks:*
We has National Plan of Action (NPOA) on sharks.
 - *FAO Guidelines to reduce sea turtle mortality in fishing operations:*
The Philippine under its Fisheries Code and other subsequent issuance recognized the prohibition in the catching of sharks, turtles and other species under CITES which is under the jurisdiction of BFAR. However, seabirds are under jurisdiction of other agency. We are coordinating with concerned agency to authorized BFAR to implement the prohibition on the incidental catching of seabirds. The vessel Captain of Philippine flagged fishing vessels had been instructed to avoid incidental catch of the prohibited species to avoid and mitigate such mortalities.
- ii. Specify whether all current binding and recommendatory measures⁶ aimed at the protection of ecologically related species⁷ from fishing of the following tuna RFMOs are being complied with. If not, specify which measures are not being complied with and the progress that is being made towards compliance:-
 - *IOTC, when fishing within IOTC's Convention Area:*
Yes
 - *WCPFC, when fishing within WCPFC's Convention Area:*
Yes
 - *ICCAT, when fishing within ICCAT's Convention Area:*
Yes
- iii. Specify whether data is being collected and reported on ecologically related species in accordance with the requirements of the following tuna RFMOs. If data are not being collected and reported in accordance with these requirements, specify which measures are not being complied with and the progress that is being made towards compliance:-
 - *CCSBT⁸:*
 - *IOTC, for fishing within IOTC's Convention Area:*
Yes
 - *WCPFC, for fishing within WCPFC's Convention Area:*
Yes
 - *ICCAT, for fishing within ICCAT's Convention Area:*
Yes

⁵ Paragraph 5.8 of the CDS Resolution specifies that "Members and Cooperating Non-Members shall undertake an appropriate level of audit, including inspections of vessels, landings, and where possible markets, to the extent necessary to validate the information contained in the CDS documentation."

⁶ Relevant measures of these RFMOs can be found at: http://www.ccsbt.org/site/bycatch_mitigation.php.

⁷ Including seabirds, sea turtles and sharks.

⁸ Current CCSBT requirements are those in the Scientific Observer Program Standards and those necessary for completing the template for the annual report to the ERSWG.

(b) Specify the number of observed ERS interactions including mortalities, and describe the methods of scaling used to produce estimates of total mortality (information should be provided by species –including the scientific name – wherever possible⁹):

	Sector 1 (please name)		Sector 2 (please name)	
Most Recent Calendar Year (please specify)				
Total number of hooks (shots for PS)				
Percentage of hooks (shots) observed				
	Total number of observed interactions/mortality			
	Interactions	Mortality	Interactions	Mortality
Seabirds				
Sharks				
Sea Turtles				
Previous Calendar Year (please specify)				
Total number of hooks (shots for PS)				
Percentage of hooks (shots) observed				
	Total number of observed interactions/mortality			
	Interactions	Mortality	Interactions	Mortality
Seabirds				
Sharks				
Sea Turtles				

(c) Mitigation – describe the current mitigation requirements:

(3) Historical SBT Catch (retained and non-retained)

Specify the best estimate (weight and number as available) of the historical fishing amounts of SBT for each sector (e.g. commercial longline, commercial purse seine, commercial charter fleet, domestic fleet, recreational) in the table below. The table should include the most recently completed fishing season. Figures should be provided for both retained SBT and non-retained SBT. For longline and recreational, “Retained SBT” includes SBT retained on vessel and “Non-Retained SBT” includes those returned to the water. For farming, “Retained SBT” includes SBT stocked to farming cages and “Non-Retained SBT” includes towing mortalities. If the number of individuals is known but the value in tonnes is unknown, enter the number of individuals in square brackets (e.g. [250]). Table cells should not be left empty. If the value is zero, enter “0”. It is recognised that for some sectors, the information requested in this table may not yet be available. Therefore, if the value is unknown, enter “?”. However, estimates are preferred over unknown entries. Cells containing estimates with a high degree of uncertainty should be shaded in light grey. A description of any estimation methods should be provided below the table.

Fishing Season (e.g. 2011/12)	Retained and Non-Retained SBT					
	Sector 1 (Longline)		Sector 2 (please name)		Sector 3 (please name)	
	Retained SBT	Non-Retained SBT	Retained SBT	Non-Retained SBT	Retained SBT	Non-Retained SBT
2008	38,892 / 932 pcs.					
2009	40,537 / 978 pcs.					
2010	36,995 / 1,093 pcs.					
2011	39,127 /					

⁹ Where species specific information is available, insert additional line(s) for each species below the relevant Seabird, Sharks, and/or Sea Turtles sub headings.

